

Olech-types lemma and Visintin-types theorem
in Pettis integration and $L^1_{E'}[E]$

Abdelhamid BOURRAS (Rabat), Charles CASTAING (Montpellier)

Mohamed GUESSOUS (Casablanca)

Summary. We present several versions of Olech's lemma and Visintin's theorem in Pettis integration and $L^1_{E'}[E]$ in the same vein as Amrani-Castaing-Valadier [4] and Benabdellah-Castaing [12].

1. Introduction. In the framework of Pettis integration (see, for instance, [2, 17, 23, 25, 29]), Amrani-Castaing-Valadier [4] stated a Visintin-type theorem and presented a version of Olech's lemma as a consequence. Using a recent weak compactness result in the space $L^1_{E'}[E](\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ of scalarly integrable functions defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ taking values in the dual E' of a separable Banach space E , Benabdellah-Castaing [12] stated a version of Visintin's theorem and also gave a version of Olech's lemma in $L^1_{E'}[E](\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ via the subspace $L^{1,\rho}_{E'}[E](\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ associated to a lifting ρ in $\mathcal{L}^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. In the present paper we aim to present some Olech-types lemma and Visintin-types theorem in $L^1_{E'}[E](\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ and Pettis integration.

This paper is divided in two parts. The first part is devoted to the study of Olech-types lemma and Visintin-types theorem under denting point condition in Pettis integration by exploiting some new properties of the denting points of the Pettis integral of a closed convex valued measurable multifunction. In the second part we present a Visintin-type theorem in $L^1_{E'}[E](\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ via the recent results in [12]. Our results shed a new light on the problem of "norm convergence is implied by the weak" in both $L^1_{E'}[E](\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ and Pettis integration setting. For more on Olech-types lemma and Visintin-types theorem in Bochner integration we refer to [1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33, 36].

2. Notations and terminology. We will use the following notions and notations and summarize some useful facts.

- E is a separable Banach space, \overline{B}_E is the closed unit ball and $B_E(x, r)$ the open ball of center x with radius r .
- E' is the topological dual of E and $\overline{B}_{E'}$ is the closed unit ball of E' .
- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is a probability space.
- $L^1_E(\mu) := L^1_E(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is the Banach space of (equivalent classes of) Bochner integrable E -valued functions.

AMS Classification : 46 E 30, 28 A 20, 60 B 12

Key words : Convergence, compactness, denting point, extreme point, strong extreme point, Pettis, Pettis uniformly integrable, weak Talagrand property.

- $P_E^1(\mu) := P_E^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is the normed space of (equivalent classes of) Pettis integrable E -valued functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow E$, endowed with the Pettis norm (see, for instance, [23, 25, 29]) $\|f\|_{P_e} := \sup_{\|x'\| \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} |\langle x', f(\omega) \rangle| \mu(d\omega)$. Let us recall that the Pettis norm $\|\cdot\|_{P_e}$ is equivalent to the norm $f \mapsto \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \|\int_A f(\omega) \mu(d\omega)\|$. Indeed let $x' \in E'$ with $\|x'\| \leq 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \int_A \langle x', f(\omega) \rangle \mu(d\omega) &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\langle x', f(\omega) \rangle| \mu(d\omega) \\
&= \int_{\langle x', f \rangle \geq 0} \langle x', f(\omega) \rangle \mu(d\omega) \\
&\quad - \int_{\langle x', f \rangle < 0} \langle x', f(\omega) \rangle \mu(d\omega) \\
&\leq 2 \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \int_A \langle x', f(\omega) \rangle \mu(d\omega).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \|\int_A f(\omega) \mu(d\omega)\| &= \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{\|x'\| \leq 1} \int_A \langle x', f(\omega) \rangle \mu(d\omega) \\
&= \sup_{\|x'\| \leq 1} \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \int_A \langle x', f(\omega) \rangle \mu(d\omega) \\
&\leq \sup_{\|x'\| \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} |\langle x', f(\omega) \rangle| \mu(d\omega) \\
&= \|f\|_{P_e} \\
&\leq 2 \sup_{\|x'\| \leq 1} \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \int_A \langle x', f(\omega) \rangle \mu(d\omega) \\
&= 2 \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{\|x'\| \leq 1} \int_A \langle x', f(\omega) \rangle \mu(d\omega) \\
&= 2 \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \|\int_A f(\omega) \mu(d\omega)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

- By $ck(E)$ (resp. $cwk(E)$) (resp. $ccb(E)$) (resp. $\mathcal{L}wc(E)$) (resp. $cc(E)$) (resp. $c(E)$) we denote the collection of all nonempty convex compact (resp. convex weakly compact) (resp. closed convex bounded) (resp. line free closed convex locally weakly compact [18]) (resp. closed convex) (resp. closed) subsets of E .
- If K is a subset of E , we denote by $cl(K)$ (resp. $\delta^*(x', K)$) the closure (resp. the support function) of K .
- An element e of a convex subset K in a Hausdorff locally convex space F is an *extreme point* of K if, for any $x, y \in K$, $e = \frac{1}{2}(x + y) \implies x = y = e$.
- An element e of a convex subset K in a Hausdorff locally convex space F is a *weak denting point* of K if, for any weak neighbourhood V of e , one has $e \notin \overline{co}(K \setminus V)$. If e is a weak denting point of K , e is an extreme point of K .

Indeed, if e is not extremal, there are x and y in K with $x \neq y$ such that $e = \frac{1}{2}(x + y)$. By Hahn-Banach theorem, there is x' in the dual F' of F such that $\alpha := \langle x', x - e \rangle > 0$. Let V be the weak neighbourhood of e defined by $V := \{z \in F : |\langle x', z - e \rangle| < \frac{\alpha}{2}\}$. Then $e \in \overline{\text{co}}(K \setminus V)$ because both x and y belong to $K \setminus V$.

- An element e of a convex subset K in E is a *strong extreme point* of K if for any sequences (x_n) and (y_n) in K , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\frac{1}{2}(x_n + y_n) - e\| = 0$ implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - e\| = 0$. It is easy to check that e is a strong extreme point of K iff the following holds. $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\eta > 0$, such that : $x, y \in K$ and $\|e - \frac{x+y}{2}\| < \eta \implies \|x - e\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|y - e\| < \varepsilon$. Indeed we can suppose $e = 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Put

$$\eta := \inf\{\|\frac{x+y}{2}\| : x, y \in K, \|x\| \geq \varepsilon \text{ or } \|y\| \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Since 0 is extremal, $\|\frac{x+y}{2}\| > 0$ whenever $\|x\| \geq \varepsilon$ or $\|y\| \geq \varepsilon$. We claim that $\eta > 0$. If $\eta = 0$ there are sequence (x_n) and (y_n) in K with $\|x_n\| \geq \varepsilon$ or $\|y_n\| \geq \varepsilon$ for every n such that $\|\frac{x_n+y_n}{2}\| \rightarrow 0$. Since 0 is a strong extreme point, $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|y_n\| \rightarrow 0$. A contradiction.

- A point e of a convex subset K in E is a point of continuity (shortly pc) of K if the identity mapping $(K, \text{weak}) \rightarrow (K, \|\cdot\|)$ is continuous at the point e .
- A point e of a convex subset K in E is a *denting point* (resp. *weak denting point*) of K if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $e \notin \overline{\text{co}}(K \setminus B_E(e, \varepsilon))$ (resp. for any weak neighbourhood V of e , $e \notin \overline{\text{co}}(K \setminus V)$).
- If K is a convex subset of E , we will denote by $\partial_e(K)$ (resp. $\partial_{se}(K)$) (resp. $\partial_{pc}(K)$) (resp. $\partial_{wd}(K)$) (resp. $\partial_d(K)$) the set of extreme points (resp. strong extreme points) (resp. pc points) (resp. weak denting points) (resp. denting points) of K . The following inclusions hold:

(a) $\partial_{se}(K) \subset \partial_e(K)$,

(b) $\partial_{se}(K) \subset \partial_{se}(\text{cl}(K))$,

(c) $\partial_d(K) \subset \partial_{se}(K) \cap \partial_{pc}(K)$, if K is closed,

(d) $\partial_d(K) \subset \partial_{wd}(K)$.

(a) is obvious. Let us prove (b). Suppose that $e \in \partial_{se}(K)$. Let (x_n) and (y_n) in $\text{cl}(K)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\frac{1}{2}(x_n + y_n) - e\| \rightarrow 0$. Let us consider the sequences (x'_n) and (y'_n) in K with $\|x_n - x'_n\| < \frac{1}{n}$ and $\|y_n - y'_n\| < \frac{1}{n}$ for every n . Since

$$\frac{1}{2}(x'_n + y'_n) - e = \frac{1}{2}(x_n + y_n) - e + \frac{1}{2}(x'_n - x_n) + \frac{1}{2}(y'_n - y_n)$$

we have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\frac{1}{2}(x'_n + y'_n) - e\| \rightarrow 0$. It follows that $\|x'_n - e\| \rightarrow 0$ and similarly $\|y'_n - e\| \rightarrow 0$. Since $\|x_n - e\| \leq \|x'_n - e\| + \|x'_n - x_n\|$, we have that

$\|x_n - e\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|y_n - e\| \rightarrow 0$. Whence $e \in \partial_{se}(cl(K))$. (d) is obvious, while (c) follows from [11] and [28].

- A multifunction $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is measurable if its graph belongs to $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}(E)$ where $\mathcal{B}(E)$ denotes the Borel tribe of E . A $ccb(E)$ -valued measurable multifunction is scalarly measurable (resp. integrable) if, for every x' in the topological dual E' of E , the real valued function $\omega \mapsto \delta^*(x', \Gamma(\omega))$ is measurable (resp. integrable). For more on measurable multifunction, see [18]. Given a measurable multifunction $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E$, we denote by S_Γ^{Pe} the set of Pettis-integrable selections of Γ . If S_Γ^{Pe} is not empty, the Pettis multivalued integral of Γ is defined by

$$\int_\Omega \Gamma(\omega) \mu(d\omega) := \left\{ \int_\Omega f(\omega) \mu(d\omega) : f \in S_\Gamma^{Pe} \right\}.$$

A subset \mathcal{H} of $P_E^1(\mu)$ is *scalarly Pettis uniformly integrable* if the set $\{\langle x', u \rangle : \|x'\| \leq 1, u \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is uniformly integrable in $L_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mu)$, \mathcal{H} is *Pettis uniformly integrable* (PUI) if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$, such that

$$\mu(A) < \delta \implies \sup_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \|1_A u\|_{Pe} \leq \varepsilon.$$

By [4] we have the implication: “scalarly Pettis uniformly integrable” \implies “Pettis uniformly integrable”.

- A scalarly integrable $ccb(E)$ -valued multifunction Γ is *Pettis integrable*, if the set $\{\delta^*(x', \Gamma(\cdot)) : \|x'\| \leq 1\}$ is uniformly integrable in $L_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. By definition S_Γ^{Pe} is scalarly Pettis uniformly integrable. The multivalued integral of a $cwk(E)$ -valued Pettis integrable multifunction is convex *weakly compact* in E because the set S_Γ^{Pe} of all Pettis integrable selections of Γ is nonempty convex and *sequentially $\sigma(P_E^1(\mu), L^\infty \otimes E')$ compact* by [2, Prop. 3.4]. If $f : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is a scalarly integrable function, then $f \in P_E^1(\mu) \iff \{\langle x', f \rangle : \|x'\| \leq 1\}$ is weakly compact in $L_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. Indeed \implies follows from the sequential weak compactness of the unit ball $\overline{B}_{E'}$ and Eberlein-Smulian theorem while \impliedby follows from Banach-Dieudonné theorem; so a Pettis integrable function f is scalarly Pettis uniformly integrable. More generally, let (f_1, \dots, f_n) be a finite sequence in $P_E^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ and let $K \in \mathcal{Lwc}(E)$, then the multifunction $\Gamma : \omega \mapsto co(\{f_1(\omega), \dots, f_n(\omega)\}) + K$ is a $\mathcal{Lwc}(E)$ -valued measurable multifunction with $S_\Gamma^{Pe} \neq \emptyset$; if K is convex weakly compact, Γ is a $cwk(E)$ -valued Pettis integrable multifunction according to the preceding definition and S_Γ^{Pe} is scalarly Pettis uniformly integrable.
- A sequence (u_n) in $P_E^1(\mu)$ is $ck(E)$ -tight if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $ck(E)$ -valued Pettis-integrable multifunction Γ_ε satisfying:

$$\sup_n \mu(\{\omega \in \Omega : u_n(\omega) \notin \Gamma_\varepsilon(\omega)\}) \leq \varepsilon.$$

- For all undefined statements and notations in Convex analysis and Measurable multifunctions we refer to [18].

2. Olech-types lemma. In this section we provide several variants of Olech’s lemma in Pettis integration.

We will need first two easy lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let \mathcal{M} be a decomposable set of vector E -valued measures, that is, for every pair m, n in \mathcal{M} , and for every \mathcal{F} -measurable set A , the vector measure $1_A m + 1_{\Omega \setminus A} n$ belongs to \mathcal{M} , and let K be a convex subset of E . Suppose that $m(\Omega) \in K$ for every $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and e is an extreme point of K , then there exists at most one $m \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $m(\Omega) = e$.*

Proof. Let $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ with $m_1(\Omega) = m_2(\Omega) = e$. For any fixed \mathcal{F} -measurable set A and for any \mathcal{F} -measurable set B , let us denote

$$m_{1,2}(B) := m_1(B \cap A^c) + m_2(B \cap A)$$

and

$$m_{2,1}(B) := m_2(B \cap A^c) + m_1(B \cap A).$$

As \mathcal{M} is a decomposable, $m_{1,2}$ and $m_{2,1}$ belong to \mathcal{M} . Then $m_{1,2}(\Omega) \in K$ and $m_{2,1}(\Omega) \in K$ and we have that $e = \frac{1}{2}(m_{1,2}(\Omega) + m_{2,1}(\Omega))$. Since e is an extreme point of K , we deduce that $e = m_{1,2}(\Omega)$. Whence $m_{1,2}(\Omega) = m_1(\Omega)$ and $m_{2,1}(\Omega) = m_2(\Omega)$ which imply $m_1(A) = m_2(A)$.

REMARK. If $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is a convex valued measurable multifunction such that the set \mathcal{S}_Γ^{Pe} of Pettis integrable selections is not empty and e is an extreme point of $\int_\Omega \Gamma(\omega) \mu(d\omega)$, then there is a unique $f \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma^{Pe}$ such that $\int_\Omega f(\omega) \mu(d\omega) = e$. It is enough to apply lemma 2.1 to $\mathcal{M} = \{f\mu : f \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma^{Pe}\}$ and $K = \int_\Omega \Gamma(\omega) \mu(d\omega)$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let \mathcal{M} be a decomposable set of E -valued vector measures and K a convex subset of E . Suppose that $m(\Omega) \in K$ for every $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and e is a strong extreme point of K , then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\eta > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \|m_1(A) - m_2(A)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

whenever $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\|m_i(\Omega) - e\| < \eta$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. As $e \in \partial_{se}(K)$, there is $0 < \eta < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ such that $x, y \in K$ and $\|e - \frac{x+y}{2}\| < \eta \implies \|x - e\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $\|y - e\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Now let $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\|m_i(\Omega) - e\| < \eta$ for $i = 1, 2$ and let A be a fixed \mathcal{F} -measurable set. For any \mathcal{F} -measurable set B , let us denote

$$m_{1,2}(B) := m_1(B \cap A^c) + m_2(B \cap A)$$

and

$$m_{2,1}(B) := m_2(B \cap A^c) + m_1(B \cap A).$$

As \mathcal{M} is decomposable, $m_{1,2}$ and $m_{2,1}$ belong to \mathcal{M} . Furthermore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e - \frac{m_{1,2}(\Omega) + m_{2,1}(\Omega)}{2}\| &= \|e - \frac{m_1(\Omega) + m_2(\Omega)}{2}\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\|e - m_1(\Omega)\| + \|e - m_2(\Omega)\|) < \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get $\|m_{1,2}(\Omega) - e\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Whence

$$\begin{aligned} \|m_2(A) - m_1(A)\| &= \|m_{1,2}(\Omega) - m_1(\Omega)\| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \eta < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

As the preceding inequality holds for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \|m_1(A) - m_2(A)\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Now we proceed to state some Olech-types lemma.

THEOREM 2.3. *Suppose that $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is a convex valued measurable multi-function and (f_n) is a sequence in S_{Γ}^{Pe} satisfying:*

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\int_{\Omega} f_n(\omega) \mu(d\omega) - e\| = 0$,
- (ii) $e \in \partial_{se}(\int_{\Omega} \Gamma(\omega) \mu(d\omega))$,

then (f_n) converges in the normed space $(P_E^1(\mu), \|\cdot\|_{Pe})$ to the unique selection $f \in S_{\Gamma}^{Pe}$ with $\int_{\Omega} f(\omega) \mu(d\omega) = e$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Applying lemma 2.2 to

$$K := \int_{\Omega} \Gamma(\omega) \mu(d\omega) \text{ and } \mathcal{M} := \{m = g \mu : g \in S_{\Gamma}^{Pe}\}$$

provides $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \|m_1(A) - m_2(A)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

whenever $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\|m_i(\Omega) - e\| < \eta$ for $i = 1, 2$. By (i) there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n \geq N \implies \|\int_{\Omega} f_n(\omega) \mu(d\omega) - e\| < \eta.$$

Now set $m_n := f_n \mu$ for $n \geq N$. It is clear that

$$\|m_n(\Omega) - e\| = \|\int_{\Omega} f_n(\omega) \mu(d\omega) - e\| < \eta.$$

By lemma 2.1 there is a unique $f \in S_{\Gamma}^{Pe}$ with $\int_{\Omega} f(\omega) \mu(d\omega) = e$. Set $m := f \mu$ so that $\|m(\Omega) - e\| = 0 < \eta$. Therefore we get

$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \|m_n(A) - m(A)\| \leq \varepsilon,$$

that is

$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \|\int_A (f_n(\omega) - f(\omega)) \mu(d\omega)\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

whence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_{Pe} \rightarrow 0$.

Combining the preceding theorem with the results obtained in [4] we obtain the following.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E$ be a $ck(E)$ -valued Pettis-integrable multi-function. Then the following hold:

(a) The set S_{Γ}^{Pe} of Pettis integrable selections of Γ in nonempty, convex sequentially compact for the topology of pointwise convergence on $L^{\infty} \otimes E'$.

(b) If (f_n) is a sequence in S_{Γ}^{Pe} such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_n(\omega) \mu(d\omega) = e$ strongly and that $e \in \partial_{se}(\int_{\Omega} \Gamma(\omega) \mu(d\omega))$, then (f_n) converges in the normed space $P_E^1(\mu)$ to the unique extreme selection $f \in S_{\Gamma}^{Pe}$ (that is $f(\omega) \in \partial_e(\Gamma(\omega))$ a.e) with $\int_{\Omega} f(\omega) \mu(d\omega) = e$.

(c) If Γ is $ck(E)$ -valued, then

$$\partial_{se}(\int_{\Omega} \Gamma(\omega) \mu(d\omega)) = \partial_e(\int_{\Omega} \Gamma(\omega) \mu(d\omega)) = \partial_d(\int_{\Omega} \Gamma(\omega) \mu(d\omega)).$$

Proof. (a) is in [4, Theorem 1.1]. (b) follows from Theorem 2.3 and the arguments given in [4, Theorem 2.5] by noting that

$$\partial_{se}(\int_{\Omega} \Gamma(\omega) \mu(d\omega)) \subset \partial_e(\int_{\Omega} \Gamma(\omega) \mu(d\omega)).$$

(c) follows from the norm compactness of $\int_{\Omega} \Gamma(\omega) \mu(d\omega)$. See again [4, page 11].

The following is a characterization of strong extreme points of a closed convex subset in E . See also [4, 28, 33] for related results.

THEOREM 2.5. Let K be a closed convex subset of E and e an element of K . Let us consider the following conditions.

(i) $e \in \partial_{se}(K)$.

(ii) If (f_n) is a sequence in S_K^{Pe} such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\int_{\Omega} f_n(\omega) \mu(d\omega) - e\| = 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - e\|_{P_e} = 0$.

(iii) If (f_n) is a sequence in S_K^{Pe} such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\int_{\Omega} f_n(\omega) \mu(d\omega) - e\| = 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\int_A (f_n(\omega) - e) \mu(d\omega)\| = 0$ for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$.

Then (i) \implies (ii) \implies (iii). Suppose there exist $C \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mu(C) = \frac{1}{2}$, then (iii) \implies (i).

Proof. Note that $\int_A f d\mu \in \mu(A)K$ for every $f \in S_K^{Pe}$ and for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Now (i) \implies (ii) follows from Theorem 2.3 by taking $\Gamma(\cdot) = K$, while (ii) \implies (iii) is obvious. Now suppose there exists $C \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mu(C) = \frac{1}{2}$. Let us prove that (iii) \implies (i). Let (x_n) and (y_n) be sequences in K such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\frac{1}{2}(x_n + y_n) - e\| = 0$. Set $f_n = x_n \mathbf{1}_C + y_n \mathbf{1}_{C^c}$. Then we have that $f_n \in S_K^{Pe}$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\int_{\Omega} f_n(\omega) \mu(d\omega) - e\| = 0.$$

By (iii) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_C f_n(\omega) \mu(d\omega) - e \right\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \|x_n - e\| = 0.$$

Therefore $e \in \partial_{se}(K)$.

We finish this section with an Olech-type lemma in $L_E^1(\mu)$ via the pc-point condition.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Suppose that K be a closed convex subset of E and $e \in \partial_{pc}(K)$, (u_n) is a sequence in $L_E^1(\mu)$ with $u_n(\omega) \in K$ for all n and a.e $\omega \in \Omega$ such that, $\forall x' \in E'$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\langle x', u_n(\omega) - e \rangle| \mu(d\omega) = 0$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \|u_n(\omega) - e\| \mu(d\omega) = 0.$$

Proof. We can suppose that $e = 0$. It is enough to show that every subsequence (v_n) of (u_n) admits a subsequence (w_n) such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n - e\|_1 = 0$. Since $0 \in \partial_{pc}(K)$, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exist $e_1^m, \dots, e_{\nu_m}^m$ in E' and $\alpha_m > 0$ such that

$$W_m := \{x \in K : \sup_{1 \leq i \leq \nu_m} |\langle e_i^m, x \rangle| \leq \alpha_m\} \subset B_E(0, \frac{1}{m}).$$

As $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\langle e_i^1, u_n(\omega) \rangle| \mu(d\omega) = 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq \nu_1$, there is a subsequence (v_n^1) of (v_n) such that $\langle e_i^1, v_n^1 \rangle \rightarrow 0$ a.e, for $1 \leq i \leq \nu_1$. Hence we have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq \nu_1} |\langle e_i^1, v_n^1 \rangle| = 0$ a.e. Repeating this argument, we see that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there is a subsequence (v_n^{m+1}) of (v_n^m) such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq \nu_{m+1}} |\langle e_i^{m+1}, v_n^{m+1} \rangle| = 0$$

a.e. Set $w_n = v_n^n$. Then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq \nu_m} |\langle e_i^m, w_n \rangle| = 0$$

a.e, thus for almost all ω and for every $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there is $k_m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that

$$k \geq k_m \implies \sup_{1 \leq i \leq \nu_m} |\langle e_i^m, w_k(\omega) \rangle| \leq \alpha_m \implies \|w_k(\omega)\| \leq \frac{1}{m}.$$

Therefore $\|w_n\| \rightarrow 0$ a.e. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be fixed. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$A_n^m := \{\omega \in \Omega : \sup_{1 \leq i \leq \nu_m} |\langle e_i^m, w_n(\omega) \rangle| \leq \alpha_m\}.$$

As $\|w_n(\omega)\| \rightarrow 0$ a.e, $\|1_{A_n^m} w_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ because $\|1_{A_n^m} w_n(\omega)\| \leq \frac{1}{m}$ a.e. But $0 \in K$ and K is convex, for every $x \in K$, we get

$$\frac{\alpha_m}{\sup_{1 \leq i \leq \nu_m} |\langle e_i^m, x \rangle|} x \in W_m$$

so that

$$\frac{\alpha_m}{\sup_{1 \leq i \leq \nu_m} |\langle e_i^m, x \rangle|} \|x\| \leq \frac{1}{m}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n\|_1 &= \|1_{A_n^m} w_n\|_1 + \|1_{\Omega \setminus A_n^m} w_n\|_1 \\ &\leq \|1_{A_n^m} w_n\|_1 + \frac{1}{m\alpha_m} \int_{\Omega \setminus A_n^m} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq \nu_m} |\langle e_i^m, w_n(\omega) \rangle| \mu(d\omega) \\ &\leq \|1_{A_n^m} w_n\|_1 + \frac{1}{m\alpha_m} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq \nu_m} \int_{\Omega} |\langle e_i^m, w_n(\omega) \rangle| \mu(d\omega). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\|w_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.

3. Visintin-types theorem in Pettis integration. We begin with a lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E$ be a $ckw(E)$ -valued measurable multifunction and (f_n) a sequence of Pettis integrable functions such that $f_n(\omega) \in \Gamma(\omega)$ for all n and a.e $\omega \in \Omega$. Suppose that (f_n) converges in the Pettis norm to $f \in P_E^1(\mu)$. Then there is a subsequence (g_m) of (f_n) such that (g_m) converges weakly almost everywhere to f . Consequently $f(\omega) \in \Gamma(\omega)$ a.e.*

Proof. Let (e'_k) be a dense sequence in $\overline{B}_{E'}$ for the Mackey topology. By the definition of Pettis norm, it is obvious that, for each k , the sequence $(\langle e'_k, f_n \rangle)_n$ converges to $\langle e'_k, f \rangle$ for the norm in $L_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. By an appropriate diagonal procedure we find a subsequence (g_m) of (f_n) such that

$$\forall k, \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle e'_k, g_m \rangle = \langle e'_k, f \rangle \text{ a.e.}$$

It follows that

$$\forall k, \langle e'_k, f(\omega) \rangle \leq \delta^*(e'_k, \Gamma(\omega)) \text{ a.e.}$$

By [18, Lemma III.34] we have $f(\omega) \in \Gamma(\omega)$ a.e. Using a routine density argument we see that (g_m) converges weakly almost everywhere to f .

REMARK. The proof of the preceding lemma shows that the set $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{Pe}$ of all Pettis integrable selections of a $\mathcal{L}wc(E)$ -valued measurable multifunction is a convex closed subset of the normed space $(P_E^1(\mu), \|\cdot\|_{Pe})$.

Using the preceding lemma we are able to establish the relationship between $\mathcal{S}_{\partial_d \Gamma}^{Pe}$ and $\partial_d(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{Pe})$ where Γ is a $ckw(E)$ -valued Pettis integrable multifunction and $\partial_d \Gamma : \omega \mapsto \partial_d(\Gamma(\omega))$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Suppose that $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is a $ckw(E)$ -valued Pettis integrable multifunction and u is a Pettis integrable function such that $u(\omega) \in \partial_d(\Gamma(\omega))$ a.e, then $u \in \partial_d(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{Pe})$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may suppose $u \equiv 0$. Assume by contradiction that $0 \notin \partial_d(\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{Pe})$. Then there exist, $\varepsilon > 0$, $(\lambda_i^n)_{1 \leq i \leq \nu_n}$ with $0 \leq \lambda_i^n \leq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\nu_n} \lambda_i^n = 1$ and $(u_i^n)_{1 \leq i \leq \nu_n}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{\Gamma}^{Pe}$ such that

$$\|u_i^n\|_{Pe} \geq \varepsilon, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \forall i \in \{1, \dots, \nu_n\}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Sigma_{i=1}^{\nu_n} \lambda_i^n u_i^n\|_{P_e} = 0.$$

Since 0 is also a pc point of $\Gamma(\omega)$ by hypothesis, using lemma 3.1 and the weak compactness of $\Gamma(\omega)$, we may suppose that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Sigma_{i=1}^{\nu_n} \lambda_i^n u_i^n(\omega)\| = 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

As $0 \in \partial_d(\Gamma(\omega))$ a.e, it follows from [28] that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma_{i=1}^{\nu_n} \lambda_i^n \|u_i^n(\omega)\| = 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

As Γ is Pettis integrable, $S_{\Gamma}^{P_e}$ is Pettis uniformly integrable. Hence there exist $\eta > 0$, such that

$$\mu(A) < \eta \implies \sup_{v \in S_{\Gamma}^{P_e}} \|1_A v\|_{P_e} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

By Egorov's theorem, there is a subsequence still denoted $(\Sigma_{i=1}^{\nu_n} \lambda_i^n \|u_i^n(\cdot)\|)_n$ which converges almost uniformly. So there is a \mathcal{F} -mesurable set B with $\mu(\Omega \setminus B) < \eta$ such that $\Sigma_{i=1}^{\nu_n} \lambda_i^n \|u_i^n(\omega)\| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on B . Hence there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \geq n_0, \Sigma_{i=1}^{\nu_n} \lambda_i^n \|u_i^n(\omega)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ uniformly on B . An easy computation gives

$$\Sigma_{i=1}^{\nu_n} \lambda_i^n \|1_B u_i^n\|_{P_e} \leq \int_{\Omega} \sup_{\omega \in B} \Sigma_{i=1}^{\nu_n} \lambda_i^n \|u_i^n(\omega)\| d\mu \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

As $\mu(\Omega \setminus B) < \eta$ we have $\|1_{\Omega \setminus B} u_i^n\|_{P_e} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all n and for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \nu_n\}$. That implies

$$\forall n, \Sigma_{i=1}^{\nu_n} \lambda_i^n \|1_{\Omega \setminus B} u_i^n\|_{P_e} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Whence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{i=1}^{\nu_n} \lambda_i^n \|u_i^n\|_{P_e} &\leq \Sigma_{i=1}^{\nu_n} \lambda_i^n (\|1_B u_i^n\|_{P_e} \\ &\quad + \|1_{\Omega \setminus B} u_i^n\|_{P_e}) \\ &\leq \Sigma_{i=1}^{\nu_n} \lambda_i^n \|1_B u_i^n\|_{P_e} \\ &\quad + \Sigma_{i=1}^{\nu_n} \lambda_i^n \|1_{\Omega \setminus B} u_i^n\|_{P_e} \\ &\leq \varepsilon' \end{aligned}$$

that contradicts the inequalities

$$\|u_i^n\|_{P_e} \geq \varepsilon, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \forall i \in \{1, \dots, \nu_n\}. \quad \square$$

The following is a version of Komlós-Visintin type theorem in Pettis integration. See also [7] for other related results in $L_E^1(\mu)$.

THEOREM 3.3. *Suppose that $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is a $cwk(E)$ -valued measurable multifunction, (u_n) is a sequence in $S_{\Gamma}^{P_e}$ satisfying :*

- (i) *the set $\{\langle x', u_n \rangle : \|x'\| \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is uniformly integrable,*

(ii) (u_n) pointwise converges on $L^\infty \otimes E'$ to $u \in P_E^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ with $u(\omega) \in \partial_{pc}(\Gamma(\omega))$ a.e,

then there is a subsequence (v_m) of (u_n) such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i - u \right\|_{P_e} = 0$$

for every subsequence $(w_l) = (v_{m_l})$ of (v_m) .

Proof. Let us recall that the scalarly Pettis uniformly integrable condition (i) implies that (u_n) is Pettis uniformly integrable [4]. Let (e'_k) be a dense sequence in $\overline{B}_{E'}$ for the Mackey topology of E' . By (i) and (ii) the sequence $(\langle e'_k, u_n \rangle)_n$ is uniformly integrable for each k and converges $\sigma(L^1, L^\infty)$ to $\langle e'_k, u \rangle$, using Komlós theorem [26] and an appropriate diagonal procedure, we find a subsequence (v_m) of (u_n) such that

$$\forall k, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e'_k, w_i \rangle = \langle e'_k, u \rangle \text{ a.e.}$$

for every subsequence $(w_l) = (v_{m_l})$ of (v_m) . By density argument, we see that $(s_n)_n := (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i)_n$ weakly converges to u a.e. As $u(\omega)$ is a pc point of $\Gamma(\omega)$ for a.e $\omega \in \Omega$ by our assumption, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|s_n - u\| = 0$$

a.e. Since (u_n) is scalarly Pettis uniformly integrable so is (s_n) . Hence (s_n) is Pettis uniformly integrable. By Vitali theorem for Pettis uniformly integrable functions [4, Proposition 2.1] we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|s_n - u\|_{P_e} = 0,$$

thus completing the proof.

By combining Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 we obtain the following version of Visintin's theorem in Pettis integration.

THEOREM 3.4. *Suppose that $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is a $cwk(E)$ -valued Pettis integrable multifunction and (u_n) is a sequence in $S_\Gamma^{P_e}$ which converges $\sigma(P_E^1(\mu), L^\infty \otimes E')$ to $u \in S_\Gamma^{P_e}$ with $u(\omega) \in \partial_d(\Gamma(\omega))$ a.e, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{P_e} = 0$.*

Proof. Without lost of generality we may suppose $u = 0$. Assume by contradiction that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{P_e} := \varepsilon > 0$. By extracting a subsequence we may suppose that $\|u_n\|_{P_e} > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all n . By Theorem 3.3 there is a subsequence (v_m) of (u_n) such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \right\|_{P_e} = 0.$$

As $\|v_m\|_{P_e} > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all m , we deduce that

$$(*) \quad 0 \in \overline{co}[S_\Gamma^{P_e} \setminus B_{P_E^1(\mu)}(0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})]$$

where $B_{P_E^1(\mu)}(0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ denotes the open ball of center 0 and radius $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ of the normed space $P_E^1(\mu)$. Since 0 is a denting point of $\Gamma(\omega)$ for a.e $\omega \in \Omega$, by Proposition 3.2 the null function 0 is a denting point of the closed convex subset S_Γ^{Pe} of the normed space $P_E^1(\mu)$ that contradicts (*).

REMARK. Using the arguments of the proof of Theorem 3.3 leads to a second version of Komlós-Visintin type theorem. We omit the proof.

THEOREM 3.5. *Suppose that the strong dual E' of E is separable, $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is a $ccb(E)$ -valued measurable multifunction, (u_n) is a sequence in S_Γ^{Pe} satisfying :*

(i) *the set $\{\langle x', u_n \rangle : \|x'\| \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is uniformly integrable,*

(ii) *(u_n) pointwise converges on $L^\infty \otimes E'$ to $u \in S_\Gamma^{Pe}$ with $u(\omega) \in \partial_{pc}(\Gamma(\omega))$ a.e,*

then there is a subsequence (v_m) of (u_n) such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i - u \right\|_{Pe} = 0$$

for every subsequence $(w_l) = (v_{m_l})$ of (v_m) .

Now we want to show that in some special situations Theorem 2.3 follows from Visintin-types theorem. We will need the following property of the denting points of the integral of a $\mathcal{Lwc}(E)$ -valued measurable multifunction.

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Suppose that $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is a $\mathcal{Lwc}(E)$ -valued measurable multifunction and $u \in S_\Gamma^{Pe}$ such that $\int_\Omega u d\mu \in \partial_d(\overline{\int_\Omega \Gamma(\omega)\mu(d\omega)})$, then u is a denting point of the closed convex set S_Γ^{Pe} of the normed space $(P_E^1(\mu), \|\cdot\|_{Pe})$.*

Proof. Let us observe that S_Γ^{Pe} is a closed convex set of the normed space $P_E^1(\mu)$ (cf. the remark of lemma 3.1). Suppose that $u \notin \partial_d(S_\Gamma^{Pe})$. There is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $u \in \overline{\partial}(S_\Gamma^{Pe} \setminus B_{P_E^1(\mu)}(u, \varepsilon))$ where $B_{P_E^1(\mu)}(u, \varepsilon)$ denotes the open ball of center u and radius ε in the normed space $P_E^1(\mu)$. Since the application $f \in P_E^1(\mu) \mapsto \int f d\mu \in E$ is linear and continuous for the norm topologies of $P_E^1(\mu)$ and E , we have that

$$(3.6.1) \quad \int_\Omega u(\omega) \mu(d\omega) \in \overline{\partial} \left\{ \int_\Omega f(\omega) \mu(d\omega) : f \in S_\Gamma^{Pe} \setminus B_{P_E^1(\mu)}(u, \varepsilon) \right\}.$$

Now we claim that

$$(3.6.2) \quad \left\{ \int_\Omega f(\omega) \mu(d\omega) : f \in S_\Gamma^{Pe} \setminus B_{P_E^1(\mu)}(u, \varepsilon) \right\} \cap B_E(I(u), \alpha) \neq \emptyset$$

for every $\alpha > 0$, where $I(u) := \int_\Omega u d\mu$. For simplicity set

$$K := \overline{\int_\Omega \Gamma(\omega)\mu(d\omega)}$$

and

$$H := \left\{ \int_\Omega f(\omega) \mu(d\omega) : f \in S_\Gamma^{Pe} \setminus B_{P_E^1(\mu)}(u, \varepsilon) \right\}.$$

It is obvious that (3.6.2) is equivalent to

$$(3.6.3) \quad H \not\subset K \setminus B_E(I(u), \alpha).$$

Assume by contradiction that

$$H \subset K \setminus B_E(I(u), \alpha).$$

Then

$$\overline{\text{co}}(H) \subset \overline{\text{co}}[K \setminus B_E(I(u), \alpha)].$$

But

$$I(u) \notin \overline{\text{co}}[K \setminus B_E(I(u), \alpha)]$$

because $I(u)$ is a denting point of K . Therefore $I(u) \notin \overline{\text{co}}(H)$ that contradicts (3.6.1). By (3.6.2) there exist a sequence (u_n) in the norm closed set $S_{\Gamma}^{Pe} \setminus B_{P_E^1}(\mu)(u, \varepsilon)$ in $P_E^1(\mu)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_{\Omega} u_n(\omega) \mu(d\omega) - \int_{\Omega} u(\omega) \mu(d\omega) \right\| = 0.$$

Since $I(u) \in \partial_{se}(\int_{\Omega} \Gamma(\omega) \mu(d\omega))$, by Lemma 2.1 and 2.2 we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{P_e} = 0.$$

Whence we have $u \in S_{\Gamma}^{Pe} \setminus B_{P_E^1}(\mu)(u, \varepsilon)$. That is impossible.

In the same vein as Theorem 3.3 and 3.5 we present a convergence result in Pettis norm via a vector-valued version of Komlós theorem (see, for instance, [14, 22, 24]) ensuring Komlós convergence for the Pettis norm of L^1 -bounded PUI sequences in $L_E^1(\mu)$ where E is a B convex reflexive separable Banach space.

THEOREM 3.7. *Suppose that E is a B convex reflexive separable Banach space (u_n) is a L^1 -bounded and PUI sequence in $L_E^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, then there exist $u \in L_E^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ and a subsequence (v_m) of (u_n) such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i - u \right\|_{P_e} = 0$$

for every subsequence $(w_l) = (v_{m_l})$ of (v_m) .

Proof. Since (u_n) is bounded in $L_E^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ and E is B convex reflexive Banach space, by [14] there is $u \in L_E^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ such that (u_n) Komlós converges to u a.e., that is, there exists a subsequence (v_m) of (u_n) such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i - u \right\| = 0$$

almost everywhere, for every subsequence $(w_l) = (v_{m_l})$ of (v_m) . As (u_n) is Pettis uniformly integrable, so is the sequence $(s_n) = (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i)$. By Vitali theorem for

Pettis integrable functions [4, Proposition 2.1], we have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|s_n - u\|_{P_e} = 0$. The proof is complete.

COROLLARY 3.8. *Suppose that E is a B convex reflexive separable Banach space, $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is a convex valued measurable multifunction and (u_n) is a L^1 - bounded and PUI sequence in S_Γ^1 which converges $\sigma(P_E^1(\mu), L^\infty \otimes E')$ to $u \in P_E^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ with $u \in \partial_d(S_\Gamma^{Pe})$, then $u \in L_E^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{P_e} = 0$.*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{P_e} := \varepsilon > 0$. By extracting a subsequence we may suppose that $\|u_n - u\|_{P_e} > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all n . As (u_n) is PUI, applying Theorem 3.7 provides a subsequence (v_m) of (u_n) and $v \in L_E^1(\mu)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i - v \right\|_{P_e} = 0.$$

Since (u_n) converges $\sigma(P_E^1(\mu), L^\infty \otimes E')$ to u , we have $u = v$ a.e. But $\|v_m - u\|_{P_e} > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all m , so we deduce that

$$u \in \overline{\text{co}}[S_\Gamma^{Pe} \setminus B_{P_E^1(\mu)}(u, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})]$$

where $B_{P_E^1(\mu)}(u, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ denotes the open ball of center u and radius $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ of the normed space $P_E^1(\mu)$. That is impossible.

Combining Proposition 3.6 and the arguments of Corollary 3.8 we get easily a version of Olech's lemma in $L_E^1(\mu)$.

PROPOSITION 3.9. *Suppose that E is a B convex reflexive separable Banach space, $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is a $\mathcal{L}wc(E)$ -valued measurable multifunction and (u_n) is a L^1 - bounded and PUI sequence in S_Γ^1 which converges $\sigma(P_E^1, L^\infty \otimes E')$ to $u \in S_\Gamma^{Pe}$ with $\int u d\mu \in \partial_d(\overline{\int \Gamma d\mu})$, then $u \in L_E^1(\mu)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{P_e} = 0$.*

There is another denting property of the Pettis integral of a $ccb(E)$ -valued Pettis integrable multifunction.

PROPOSITION 3.10. *Suppose that $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is a Pettis integrable $ccb(E)$ -valued multifunction and $u \in S_\Gamma^{Pe}$ such that $\int_\Omega u d\mu \in \partial_d(\int_\Omega \Gamma(\omega)\mu(d\omega))$, then $u(\omega) \in \partial_{wd}(\Gamma(\omega))$ a.e.*

Proof. We may suppose that $u \equiv 0$. Let V be a weak neighbourhood of 0 in E . There exist e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_k in $\overline{B_{E'}}$ and $\eta > 0$ such that

$$W := \{x \in E : \sup_{1 \leq i \leq k} \langle e'_i, x \rangle < \eta\} \subset V.$$

Then we have

$$\overline{\text{co}}(\Gamma(\omega) \setminus V) \subset \overline{\text{co}}(\Gamma(\omega) \setminus W)$$

so that we need only to prove that

$$0 \notin \overline{\text{co}}(\Gamma(\omega) \setminus W) \text{ a.e.}$$

As 0 is a denting point of $\int_{\Omega} \Gamma(\omega) \mu(d\omega)$, we have $0 \notin \overline{\text{co}}(\int \Gamma d\mu \setminus \eta B_E)$. Hence there exist $x' \in \overline{B}_{E'}$ such that

$$\alpha := \delta^*(x', \int_{\Omega} \Gamma d\mu \setminus \eta B_E) < 0.$$

Set $A := \{\omega \in \Omega : \delta^*(x', \Gamma(\omega) \setminus W) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}\}$. Since W is open in $(E, \sigma(E, E'))$, it is not difficult to check that $A \in \mathcal{F}$. We claim that $\mu(A) = 0$. Assume by contradiction that $\mu(A) > 0$. Let us consider the multifunction Δ defined on A by

$$\Delta(\omega) := \{x \in \Gamma(\omega) \setminus W : \langle x', x \rangle \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}\}.$$

Then Δ is a $c(E)$ -valued measurable multifunction. By [18] Δ admits a measurable selection $v : A \rightarrow E$. By the Pettis integrability assumption on Γ , v is Pettis integrable. Set $A_i = \{\omega \in A : \langle e'_i, v(\omega) \rangle \geq \eta\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Set $B_1 = A_1$ and for $2 \leq i \leq k$, $B_i = A_i \setminus \cup_{j=1}^{i-1} A_j$. Then $(B_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ is a measurable partition of A . There is a measurable set B_i with $\mu(B_i) > 0$. By integrating on B_i we get

$$\|\int_{B_i} v d\mu\| \geq \int_{B_i} \langle e'_i, v \rangle d\mu \geq \eta \mu(B_i)$$

and

$$\langle x', \int_{B_i} v d\mu \rangle = \int_{B_i} \langle x', v \rangle d\mu \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \mu(B_i).$$

As $\|x'\| \leq 1$ and $\alpha < 0$, we get

$$\|\int_{B_i} v d\mu\| \leq -\frac{\alpha}{2} \mu(B_i).$$

It follows that $\eta < -\frac{\alpha}{2}$ which contradicts the definition of α . Hence we conclude that $0 \notin \overline{\text{co}}(\Gamma(\omega) \setminus W)$ a.e.

The variations of our techniques allow to obtain other variants in $L^1_E(\mu)$. Let us mention only the following. Let us recall that a subset \mathcal{H} in $L^1_E(\mu)$ has the weak Talagrand property (shortly WTP) [11] if, given any L^1 -bounded sequence (u_n) in \mathcal{H} there is a sequence (\tilde{u}_n) with $\tilde{u}_n \in \text{co}\{u_m : m \geq n\}$ such that (\tilde{u}_n) converges weakly a.e in E . Any bounded and weak tight sequence in $L^1_E(\mu)$ has the WTP [11, Theorem 2.8]. $L^1_E(\mu)$ has the WTP iff E is reflexive. For more on WTP sets in $L^1_E(\mu)$, we refer to [11, 24]. Now we proceed to a variant of Theorem 3.7.

PROPOSITION 3.11. *Suppose that E is separable Banach space (u_n) is an L^1 -bounded, WTP and PUI sequence in $L^1_E(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, then there exist $u \in P^1_E(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ and a sequence (v_n) with $v_n \in \text{co}\{u_m : m \geq n\}$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n - u\|_{P_e} = 0.$$

Proof. Since (u_n) is bounded WTP in $L_E^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, by [11, Theorem 2.9] there is a sequence (v_n) in $L_E^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ with $v_n \in \text{co}\{u_m : m \geq n\}$ such that (v_n) converges *strongly* a.e to a function $u \in L_E^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. As (u_n) is Pettis uniformly integrable, so is the sequence (v_n) . By Vitali theorem for Pettis integrable functions [4, Proposition 2.1], we have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n - u\|_{P_e} = 0$. The proof therefore is complete.

Using Proposition 3.11 and Proposition 3.6 we get easily another version of Olech's lemma in $L_E^1(\mu)$. We omit the proof since it follows from the arguments given in Corollary 3.8.

PROPOSITION 3.12. *Suppose that E is a separable Banach space, $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is a $Lwc(E)$ -valued measurable multifunction and (u_n) is a L^1 -bounded and PUI sequence in S_Γ^1 which converges $\sigma(P_E^1, L^\infty \otimes E')$ to $u \in S_\Gamma^{P_e}$ with $\int_\Omega u d\mu \in \partial_d(\overline{\int_\Omega \Gamma d\mu})$, then $u \in L_E^1(\mu)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{P_e} = 0$.*

It is enough to observe that (u_n) has the WTP by [11, Theorem 2.9] so that Proposition 3.12 is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.6 and Proposition 3.11.

Now let us mention some remarks concerning Olech-types lemma under extreme point condition.

REMARKS. 1.- If E is \mathbb{R}^d the techniques given in the proof of Theorem 2.3 allow to recover easily Olech's lemma [30]. Firstly, if μ is atomless, the multivalued integral of closed valued multifunction is always convex. Secondly, an extreme point of a closed convex subset of \mathbb{R}^d is a denting point [33, Lemma 1, page 5.4] so that if one suppose in Theorem 2.3, μ is atomless, E is \mathbb{R}^d and $e \in \partial_e \text{cl}(\int_\Omega \Gamma(\omega) \mu(d\omega))$ where $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a closed valued measurable multifunction, then by [33, Lemma 2, page 5.5] asserting that

$$\forall v \in L_{\mathbb{R}^d}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu), \|v\|_{L^1} \leq 2d \sup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \left\| \int_A v d\mu \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^d},$$

we get an alternative proof of Olech's lemma. We refer to [5, 8] for other related results.

2.- The next version of Olech's lemma in $P_E^1(\mu)$ is based essentially on the following Visintin-type theorem.

PROPOSITION 3.13. *Suppose that E is a separable Banach space, $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is a $Lwc(E)$ -valued measurable multifunction, $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Pettis uniformly integrable and $ck(E)$ -tight sequence in $S_\Phi^{P_e}$ which converges $\sigma(P_E^1(\mu), L^\infty \otimes E')$ to $u \in S_\Phi^{P_e}$ with $u(\omega) \in \partial_e(\Phi(\omega))$ a.e, then $\|u_n - u\|_{P_e} \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. See [4, Theorem 2.4].

Using the preceding result we get

PROPOSITION 3.14. *Suppose that E is a separable Banach space, $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is a $Lwc(E)$ -valued measurable multifunction, $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Pettis uniformly integrable and $ck(E)$ -tight sequence in $S_\Phi^{P_e}$ which converges $\sigma(P_E^1(\mu), L^\infty \otimes E')$ to $u \in P_E^1(\mu)$ with $\int_\Omega u(\omega) \mu(d\omega) \in \partial_e(\int_\Omega \Phi(\omega) \mu(d\omega))$, then $\|u_n - u\|_{P_e} \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Note that $u \in S_\Phi^e$ because $u_n \rightarrow u$ for the $\sigma(P_E^1(\mu), L^\infty \otimes E')$ topology and Φ is $\mathcal{L}wc(E)$ -valued (see e.g. [4]). In view of Proposition 3.13, it is enough to check that $u(\omega) \in \partial_e(\Phi(\omega))$ a.e. But this fact follows easily from the arguments given in [4, Theorem 2.4]. Suppose not. Then there exist a \mathcal{F} -measurable set A such that

$$A \subset \{\omega \in \Omega : u(\omega) \notin \partial_e(\Phi(\omega))\}$$

with $\mu(A) > 0$. As in [4, Theorem 1.3], it is easy to find two Pettis integrable selections g and h of Φ such that

$$g \neq h \text{ and } u = \frac{1}{2}(g + h).$$

Let us consider a \mathcal{F} -measurable set $B \subset A$ of positive measure such that

$$\int_B g \, d\mu \neq \int_B h \, d\mu$$

and set

$$g_1 = 1_B g + 1_{\Omega \setminus B} u \text{ and } g_2 = 1_B h + 1_{\Omega \setminus B} u.$$

Then we have

$$e = \int_\Omega u \, d\mu = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_\Omega g_1 \, d\mu + \int_\Omega g_2 \, d\mu \right)$$

with $\int_\Omega g_1 \, d\mu \neq \int_\Omega g_2 \, d\mu$, thus contradicting the extreme nature of e .

4. Visintin-type theorem in $L_{E'}^1[E]$. Let E be a Banach space. For the sake of completeness we will recall the following notations and notions and summarize some useful results [12] in the space $L_{E'}^1[E]$ before we state the main result in this section. We denote by $\mathcal{L}_{E'}^1[E]$ the vector space of scalarly measurable functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow E'$ such that there exists a positive integrable function h (depending on f) such that $\forall \omega \in \Omega, \|f(\omega)\| \leq h(\omega)$. A semi-norm on $\mathcal{L}_{E'}^1[E]$ is defined by

$$N_1(f) = \int_\Omega^* \|f(\omega)\| \, \mu(d\omega) = \inf \left\{ \int_\Omega h \, d\mu : h \text{ integrable; } h \geq \|f\| \right\}.$$

Two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{L}_{E'}^1[E]$ are *equivalent* (shortly $f \equiv g$ (w^*)) if, $\langle f(\cdot), x \rangle = \langle g(\cdot), x \rangle$ a.e. for every $x \in E$. The equivalence class of f is denoted by \bar{f} . The quotient space $L_{E'}^1[E]$ is equipped with the norm \bar{N}_1 given by

$$\bar{N}_1(\bar{f}) = \inf \{ \bar{N}_1(g) : g \in \bar{f} \}.$$

Let $\hat{\rho}$ [12] be the lifting in $\mathcal{L}_{E'}^\infty[E]$ associated to a lifting ρ in $\mathcal{L}_\mathbb{R}^\infty(\mu)$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}_{E'}^{1,\rho}[E]$ the vector space of all mappings $f \in \mathcal{L}_{E'}^1[E]$ such that there exists a sequence $(A_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in \mathcal{F} satisfying :

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n = \Omega \text{ and } \forall n \geq 1, 1_{A_n} f \in \mathcal{L}_{E'}^\infty[E] \text{ and } \hat{\rho}(1_{A_n} f) = 1_{\rho(A_n)} f.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{L}_{E'}^{1,\rho}[E]$, $\|f(\cdot)\|$ is measurable [12, Prop. 2.4 (6)] and the quotient space $L_{E'}^{1,\rho}[E]$ is equipped with the norm

$$N_{1,\rho}(\bar{f}) = N_1(\|f\|) = \int_{\Omega} \|f\| d\mu.$$

By [12, Theorem 2.5] there is a linear isometric isomorphism $\tilde{\rho} : (L_{E'}^1[E], \bar{N}_1) \rightarrow (L_{E'}^{1,\rho}[E], N_{1,\rho})$ so that $L_{E'}^1[E]$ and $L_{E'}^{1,\rho}[E]$ can be identified. In this identification $\bar{f} \in L_{E'}^1[E]$ is identified with $\tilde{\rho}(\bar{f})$ and for notational convenience, \bar{f} is identified with a function $f \in \mathcal{L}_{E'}^{1,\rho}[E]$. Let $ck(E')$ (resp. $cwk(E')$) be the set of all nonempty convex norm compact (resp. $\sigma(E', E'')$ compact) subsets of the Banach space E' . A $cwk(E')$ -valued multifunction $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E'$ is scalarly measurable (resp. integrable) if, for every $x \in E$, the function $\delta^*(x, \Gamma(\cdot))$ is measurable (resp. integrable), where $\delta^*(x, K)$ denotes the support function of $K \in cwk(E')$.

PROPOSITION A [12, Proposition 4.1, page 30]. *Suppose that $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E'$ is a $cwk(E')$ -valued multifunction and (f_n) is a uniformly integrable sequence in $L_{E'}^1[E]$ such that $f_n(\omega) \in \Gamma(\omega)$ for a.e $\omega \in \Omega$ and for all n , then (f_n) is relatively $\sigma(L_{E'}^1[E](\mu), (L_{E'}^1[E](\mu))')$ (weakly) compact in $L_{E'}^1[E]$.*

We only sketch the proof. By Theorem 3.9 in [12] there are a sequence (g_n) with $g_n \in co\{f_m : m \geq n\}$ and two measurable sets A and B in Ω with $\mu(A \cup B) = 1$ such that

(a) $\forall \omega \in A, (g_n(\omega))$ is $\sigma(E', E'')$ Cauchy in E' .

(b) $\forall \omega \in B$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the sequence $(g_n(\omega))_{n \geq k}$ is equivalent to the vector unit basis of l^1 .

As $\Gamma(\omega)$ is $\sigma(E', E'')$ -compact for all $\omega \in \Omega$, using (b) one has $\mu(B) = 0$. Hence there is a sequence (g_n) in $L_{E'}^1[E]$ with $g_n \in co\{f_m : m \geq n\}$ such that $(g_n(\omega))$ is $\sigma(E', E'')$ -convergent a.e. By Theorem 3.5 in [12] (g_n) is $\sigma(L_{E'}^1[E](\mu), (L_{E'}^1[E](\mu))')$ convergent in $L_{E'}^1[E]$. Hence (f_n) is relatively weakly compact in $L_{E'}^1[E]$ by a general criterion for weak compactness in Banach spaces.

In the remainder of this section we shall suppose that E is a separable Banach space. Using Proposition A and the separability of E we have

COROLLARY B. *Suppose that $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E'$ is a scalarly measurable $cwk(E')$ -valued multifunction and there is $g \in L_{\mathbb{R}^+}^1$ such $\Gamma(\omega) \subset g(\omega)\bar{B}_{E'}$ for all ω in Ω , then the set S_{Γ} of all scalarly integrable selections of Γ is convex weakly compact in $L_{E'}^1[E]$.*

PROOF. See [12, Corollary 4.2].

For notational convenience such a multifunction Γ is said to be *integrably bounded*. Unlike the space $L_{E'}^1(\mu)$, the preceding results are not standard and rely on a deep result involving the Talagrand decomposition in $L_{E'}^{1,\rho}[E](\mu)$ [12, Theorem 3.9].

A uniformly integrable sequence (u_n) in $L^1_{E'}[E](\mu)$ is *norm-tight* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a scalarly $ck(E')$ -valued measurable and integrably bounded multifunction $\Phi_\varepsilon : \Omega \rightarrow E'$ with $0 \in \Phi_\varepsilon(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ such that

$$\sup_n \mu(\{\omega \in \Omega : u_n(\omega) \in \Phi_\varepsilon(\omega)\}) \leq \varepsilon.$$

It is easily seen that u_n can be written as $u_n = 1_{A_n}u_n + 1_{\Omega \setminus A_n}u_n$ where $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ and $1_{A_n}u_n \in \mathcal{S}_{\Phi_\varepsilon}$ and $\|1_{\Omega \setminus A_n}u_n\|_{L^1_{E'}[E]} \leq \varepsilon$, so that a uniformly integrable norm-tight sequence (u_n) in $L^1_{E'}[E](\mu)$ is relatively weakly compact in view of Proposition A and Grothendieck lemma, see e.g [3, page 183] for details.

Now we are able to present a version of Visintin theorem in $L^1_{E'}[E](\mu)$ in same style as in [3, Lemme 10 and Théorème 11] and [31]. Since the proof follows the same lines, we don't want to give details so much. Yet this needs a careful look. In the following by *weakly converges* we mean $\sigma(L^1_{E'}[E](\mu), (L^1_{E'}[E](\mu))'$ converges.

THEOREM 4.1. *Suppose that (u_n) is uniformly integrable norm-tight sequence in $L^1_{E'}[E](\mu)$ weakly converging to $u \in L^1_{E'}[E](\mu)$ such that*

$$u(\omega) \in \partial_e(\cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\text{co}}\{u_k(\omega) : k \geq n\}) \text{ a.e.},$$

then $\int_\Omega \|u_n(\omega) - u(\omega)\| \mu(d\omega) \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. We will divide the proof in two steps.

Step 1. We will prove the theorem in the particular case when (u_n) is a uniformly integrable sequence in $L^1_{E'}[E](\mu)$ weakly converging to $u \in L^1_{E'}[E](\mu)$ and satisfying:

- (a) there is a convex norm compact multifunction $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E'$ such that $u_n(\omega) \in \Gamma(\omega)$ for all n and all $\omega \in \Omega$,
- (b) $u(\omega) \in \partial_e(\cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\text{co}}\{u_k(\omega) : k \geq n\})$.

For every $r > 0$, let us denote by $B_{E'}(0, r)$ the open ball

$$B_{E'}(0, r) := \{x' \in E' : \|x'\| < r\}$$

in the Banach space E' . Since $(\|u_n\|)_n$ is uniformly integrable it suffices to prove that $\|u_n - u\| \rightarrow 0$ in measure. We may suppose $u \equiv 0$. Suppose not. Then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\eta > 0$ such that

$$(4.1.1) \quad \mu(\{\omega \in \Omega : u_n(\omega) \notin B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)\}) \geq \eta.$$

(*) for infinitely many n ; namely there exists an infinite subset $S_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that the preceding inequality holds for all $n \in S_1$. For every $\omega \in \Omega$, let

$$\Sigma_n(\omega) := \overline{\text{co}}\{u_k(\omega) : k \geq n\} \text{ and } \Sigma(\omega) := \cap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_n(\omega).$$

Since the function $x' \mapsto \|x'\|$ is lower semicontinuous on E'_{w^*} , $B_{E'}(0, r)$ is a Borel subset of E'_{w^*} . As E'_{w^*} is a Lusin space and any scalarly integrable multifunction

(*) The measurability of $\{\omega \in \Omega : u_n(\omega) \notin B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)\}$ will be demonstrated later.

from Ω to the set $ck(E'_{w^*})$ of nonempty convex compact subsets of E'_{w^*} . has its graph in $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}(E'_{w^*})$ where $\mathcal{B}(E'_{w^*})$ is the Borel tribe of E'_{w^*} . Now set

$$A := \{\omega \in \Omega : \Sigma(\omega) \setminus B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset\}$$

$$B := \{\omega \in \Omega : \Sigma(\omega) \subset B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)\}$$

$$B_n := \{\omega \in \Omega : \Sigma_n(\omega) \subset B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)\}.$$

As the graph of the multifunctions Σ and Σ_n belong to $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}(E'_{w^*})$ and $B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)$ is a Borel subset of E'_{w^*} , by a classical measurable projection theorem [18, Theorem III.23] we see that $\{\omega \in \Omega : u_n(\omega) \notin B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)\}$, A , B_n , B are \mathcal{F} -measurable. Furthermore we have $B_n \uparrow B$ because if $\omega \in B$ we have that $\bigcap_n \Sigma_n(\omega) \setminus B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$ so that by finite intersection property of compact spaces there is an integer m such that $\bigcap_{n \geq m} \Sigma_n(\omega) \setminus B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$. Pick N_1 such that $n \geq N_1$ implies $\mu(B \setminus B_n) < \frac{\eta}{2}$. Since

$$\{\omega \in B : u_n(\omega) \notin B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)\} \subset B \setminus B_n$$

we get

$$(4.1.2) \quad n \geq N_1 \implies \mu(\{\omega \in B : u_n(\omega) \notin B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)\}) < \frac{\eta}{4}.$$

Let us write $u_n = v_n + w_n$ where

$$v_n := 1_{\{\omega \in \Omega : u_n(\omega) \notin B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)\}} u_n$$

and

$$w_n := 1_{\{\omega \in \Omega : u_n(\omega) \in B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)\}} u_n.$$

Then the sequence (v_n) is relatively sequentially $\sigma(L_{E'}^1[E], (L_{E'}^1[E])')$ compact in view of Proposition A and Eberlein-Smulian theorem. There is a subsequence $(v_n)_{n \in S_2}$ where S_2 is an infinite subset of S_1 such that $(v_n)_{n \in S_2}$ converges to $v \in L_{E'}^1[E](A \cap \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ for this topology. It follows that $(w_n)_{n \in S_2}$ weakly converges to $u - v$. Using a version of Mazur's theorem in $L_{E'}^1[E]$ [12, Lemma 3.12] and [3, Lemma 4] we get

$$v(\omega) \in \bigcap_{n \in S_2} \overline{\text{co}}[\{v_k(\omega) : k \geq n, k \in S_2\}] \subset \Sigma(\omega) \text{ a.e.}$$

Similarly we have $w(\omega) \in \Sigma(\omega)$ a.e. Since $0 \in \partial_{ext}(\Sigma(\omega))$ a.e and u_n weakly converges to 0, we get $v = w = 0$. As $\Sigma(\omega)$ is norm compact and convex in E' we have that $\partial_e(\Sigma(\omega)) = \partial_d(\Sigma(\omega))$ in view of [3, Lemme 1]. So we have

$$0 \notin \overline{\text{co}}[\Sigma(\omega) \setminus B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)] \text{ a.e.}$$

It is obvious that $\overline{\text{co}}[\Sigma(\omega) \setminus B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)]$ is nonempty convex norm compact (a fortiori $\sigma(E', E)$ compact in E') whenever $\omega \in A$. Hence the multifunction Ψ defined from

A with nonempty values in the closed unit ball \overline{B}_E of E (thanks to the Hahn-Banach Theorem) :

$$\Psi(\omega) := \{x \in \overline{B}_E : \delta^*(x, \overline{c\partial}[\Sigma(\omega) \setminus B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)]) < 0\}$$

has its graph in $A \cap \mathcal{B}(\overline{B}_E)$ [18, Lemma III.14]. By [18, Theorem II. 22], Ψ admits a \mathcal{F} -measurable selection $\sigma : A \rightarrow \overline{B}_E$. Since $\Sigma_n(\omega) \setminus B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon) \downarrow \Sigma(\omega) \setminus B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)$ we get

$$(4.1.3) \quad \delta^*(\sigma(\omega), \overline{c\partial}[\Sigma_n(\omega) \setminus B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)]) \rightarrow \delta^*(\sigma(\omega), \overline{c\partial}[\Sigma(\omega) \setminus B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)]).$$

By [3, Lemma 3], there are $a < 0$ and N_2 such that

$$(4.1.4) \quad n \geq N_2 \implies \mu(\{\omega \in A : \delta^*(\sigma(\omega), \overline{c\partial}[\Sigma(\omega) \setminus B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)]) > a\}) < \frac{\eta}{4}.$$

As v_n is either $= 0$ or belongs to $[\Sigma_n(\omega) \setminus B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)]$, we have $\limsup_n \langle \sigma(\omega), v_n(\omega) \rangle \leq 0$. Now since $v_n \rightarrow 0$ for $\sigma \in (L_{E'}^1[E], (L_{E'}^1[E])')$ and $L_E^\infty(\mu) \subset (L_{E'}^1[E])'$ in view of [12, page 18], for every $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^\infty(A \cap \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ we get

$$(4.1.5) \quad \int_A h(\omega) \langle \sigma(\omega), v_n(\omega) \rangle \mu(d\omega) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore by [3, Cor. D], $\langle \sigma(\cdot), v_n(\cdot) \rangle \rightarrow 0$ in measure. Consequently there exist N_3 such that

$$(4.1.6) \quad n \geq N_3, (n \in S_2) \implies \mu(\{\omega \in A : \langle \sigma(\omega), v_n(\omega) \rangle \leq a\}) < \frac{\eta}{4}.$$

Now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\omega \in A : v_n(\omega) \neq 0\} \\ & \subset \{\omega \in A : v_n(\omega) \neq 0 \text{ and } \langle \sigma(\omega), v_n(\omega) \rangle > a\} \cup \{\omega \in A : \langle \sigma(\omega), v_n(\omega) \rangle \leq a\} \\ & \subset \{\omega \in A : \delta^*(\sigma(\omega), \overline{c\partial}[\Sigma_n(\omega) \setminus B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)]) > a\} \cup \{\omega \in A : \langle \sigma(\omega), v_n(\omega) \rangle > a\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.1.5) and (4.1.6) we get

$$(4.1.7) \quad n \geq \max(N_2, N_3) \implies \mu(\{\omega \in A : v_n(\omega) \neq 0\}) < \frac{\eta}{2}.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\omega \in \Omega : u_n(\omega) \notin B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)\} \\ & = \{\omega \in A : u_n(\omega) \notin B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)\} \cup \{\omega \in B : u_n(\omega) \notin B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)\} \\ & = \{\omega \in A : v_n(\omega) \neq 0\} \cup \{\omega \in B : u_n(\omega) \notin B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)\}. \end{aligned}$$

So by (4.1.4) and (4.1.7) and for $n \geq \max(N_1, N_2, N_3)$, we get

$$n \geq \max(N_1, N_2, N_3) \implies \mu(\{\omega \in A : u_n(\omega) \notin B_{E'}(0, \varepsilon)\}) < \frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{\eta}{2} = \eta.$$

That contradicts (4.1.1).

Step 2. Now we pass to the general case. We suppose that (u_n) is *norm-tight*. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There is a scalarly $ck(E')$ -valued measurable and integrably bounded multifunction $\Phi_\varepsilon : \Omega \rightarrow E'$ with $0 \in \Phi_\varepsilon(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ such that u_n can be written as

$$u_n = 1_{A_n} u_n + 1_{\Omega \setminus A_n} u_n$$

where $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ and $1_{A_n} u_n \in \mathcal{S}_{\Phi_\varepsilon}$ and $\|1_{\Omega \setminus A_n} u_n\|_{L^1_{E'}[E]} \leq \varepsilon$. By Corollary B, we may suppose that $(v_n) = (1_{A_n} u_n)$ weakly converges to $v \in \mathcal{S}_{\Phi}^{Pe}$, by extracting a subsequence if necessary. Hence we have

$$0 = \text{weak-} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \text{weak-} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [v_n + w_n] = v + w$$

with $w_n = 1_{\Omega \setminus A_n} u_n$ and $w \in \mathcal{S}_{\Phi}^{Pe}$ similarly. By Mazur's theorem in $L^1_{E'}[E]$ [12, Lemma 3.12] and [3, Lemma 4] we have

$$(4.1.8) \quad v(\omega) \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\text{co}}\{v_k(\omega) : k \geq n\} \subset \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\text{co}}\{u_k(\omega) : k \geq n\} \text{ a.e.}$$

Similarly

$$(4.1.9) \quad w(\omega) \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\text{co}}\{u_k(\omega) : k \geq n\} \text{ a.e.}$$

As $0 \in \partial_e(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\text{co}}\{u_k(\omega) : k \geq n\})$ by hypothesis, applying the arguments of Step 1 to v_n and w_n gives $v = w = 0$. Again by [3, Lemma 4] we get

$$(4.1.10) \quad 0 = v(\omega) \in \partial_e(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\text{co}}\{v_k(\omega) : k \geq n\}) \text{ a.e.}$$

By (4.1.10) we can apply the results stated in Step 1 to the sequence $(v_n)_n$ showing that $\|v_n\|_{L^1_{E'}[E]} \rightarrow 0$. Since

$$\|u_n\|_{L^1_{E'}[E]} \leq \|v_n\|_{L^1_{E'}[E]} + \|w_n\|_{L^1_{E'}[E]} \leq \|v_n\|_{L^1_{E'}[E]} + \varepsilon$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and ε is arbitrary > 0 , $\|u_n\|_{L^1_{E'}[E]} \rightarrow 0$.

To finish the paper let us mention an easy variant of Theorem 4.1.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Suppose that E is a Banach space with strong separable dual, $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is a closed convex measurable multifunction and (u_n) is a sequence in \mathcal{S}_Γ^1 which converges $\sigma(L^1, L^\infty)$ to $u \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma^1$ with $u(\omega) \in \partial_{pc}(\Gamma(\omega))$ a.e, then $\|u_n - u\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Using the separability of the dual of E' , it is easy to check that (u_n) has the weak Komlós property. Namely there exist $u \in L^1_E(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ and a subsequence (v_m) of (u_n) such that for all $e^* \in E'$ and almost all $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle e^*, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j(\omega) \rangle = \langle e^*, u(\omega) \rangle$$

a.e for each subsequence $(w_l) = (v_{m_l})$. Since $u(\omega) \in \partial_{pc}(\Gamma(\omega))$ a.e we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j(\omega) = u(\omega)$$

a.e and strongly in $L_E^1(\mu)$.

COMMENTS. (1) Visintin-types theorem were extensively studied by classical methods [36, 33, 5], Young measures [32, 6], Truncation methods [3, 4], K-convergence (alias Komlós convergence) [6, 7]. Several refinements of this problem were stated in [6, 7] as well as the connection between Olech-types lemma and Visintin-types theorem [8]. A good synthesis of this problem is in Valadier's exposé [33].

Visintin-types theorem and Olech-types lemma in Pettis integration have been studied recently in [4].

(2) The present paper is a continuation of the preceding studies, mainly we give several variants of the problem of "norm convergence is implied by the weak" under denting point conditions in both Bochner and Pettis integration as well we provide a characterization of strong extreme points in the same vein as in [28]. So it is worth to mention the characterization of the denting points of the convex set of Bochner integrable selections [10, 19, 27]. Unfortunately we are unable to give a complete characterization for the analogous ones in Pettis integration [see Proposition 3.2]. That is an open problem. Theorem 4.1 is related to the problem of "norm convergence is implied by the weak" under extreme point condition in $L_{E'}^1[E]$ extending Lemma 3 and Theorem 11 in [3] and Theorem 4.3 in [12]. Yet the proof is based upon the truncation techniques developed in [3] and several delicate results in [12]. Actually we are unable to derive Theorem 4.1 from the theory of Young measures [6, 9, 32, 33] or Komlós convergence [6, 7, 9]. The problem of "norm convergence is implied by the convergence in \mathcal{D}' " under strict convexity was stated by Brezis [15] using a quite different technique. An other approach of the problem of "norm convergence is implied by the weak" under strict convexity is in [13].

(4) It is worth to pose the following question. Suppose that $(u_n)_n$ is a sequence in $L_E^1(\mu)$ which converges $\sigma(L_E^1(\mu), L_{E'}^\infty(\mu))$ to $u \in L_E^1(\mu)$ with $u(\omega) \in \partial_d(\cap_{n \geq 0} \overline{\text{co}}\{u_k(\omega) : k \geq n\})$ a.e. Does $\|u_n - u\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0$. The answer is negative by considering the following example (see also an analogous one in [3, page 180]) which has been kindly communicated to us by M. Valadier. Let $(e_n)_n$ denotes the orthonormal basis of a separable Hilbert space H . Set

$$\overline{\text{co}}\{e_k : k \geq n\} = \{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k e_k : \lambda_k \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k \leq 1\}.$$

We have

$$\cap_{n \geq 0} \overline{\text{co}}\{e_k : k \geq n\} = \{0\}.$$

Set $u_n = e_n$ and $u \equiv 0$. Then $u_n \rightarrow u$ for $\sigma(L_H^1(\mu), L_H^\infty(\mu))$ using the dominated convergence theorem while $\|u_n\|_{L^1}$ does not tend to 0. But now suppose that E is a separable reflexive Banach space and $\Gamma : \Omega \rightarrow E$ is a closed convex valued measurable multifunction, (u_n) is a sequence in $L_E^1(\mu)$ which converges $\sigma(L_E^1(\mu), L_{E'}^\infty(\mu))$ to $u \in L_E^1(\mu)$ with $u_n(\omega) \in \Gamma(\omega)$ for all n and all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $u(\omega) \in \partial_d(\Gamma(\omega))$ a.e, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{L^1} = 0$. Namely that version of Visintin's theorem is valid in separable reflexive Banach spaces. See e.g [33, Theorem 7] using Hahn-Banach theorem and measurable selection theorem as in [3, Lemma

10] and [4, Lemma 2.2]. An extension of this result to separable Banach space has been obtained in [7] under weak tightness assumption using Komlós convergence. It turns out that the above mentioned example does not satisfy the assumption of the preceding version of Visintin's theorem. See also [7, Corollary 3.6] and Proposition 4.2. Indeed $u(\omega)$ is not a denting point of $\Gamma(\omega)$ when $\Gamma(\omega)$ is a closed convex multifunction satisfying $\forall n, u_n(\omega) \in \Gamma(\omega)$ because, for every $\varepsilon \in]0, 1[, 0 \in \overline{\text{co}}\{e_n : n \geq 0\} \subset \overline{\text{co}}[\Gamma(\omega) \setminus B_E(0, \varepsilon)]$.

Apart from the use of truncation method and Komlós arguments, we stress the fact that most techniques employed here are elementary.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We wish to thank M. Valadier for a careful reading of this paper.

References

- [1] A. Amrani, *Entaillabilité et convergence dans l'espace L^1* , Bull. Polish Acad. Sc. **42** (1994), 229-236.
- [2] A. Amrani and C. Castaing, *Weak compactness in Pettis integration*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sc. **45** (1997), 139-150.
- [3] A. Amrani, C. Castaing and M. Valadier, *Méthodes de troncatures appliquées à des problèmes de convergence faible où forte dans L^1* , Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **117** (1992), 167-191.
- [4] A. Amrani, C. Castaing and M. Valadier, *Convergence in Pettis norm under extreme point condition*, to appear in Vietnam Journal of Mathematics **4** (1998).
- [5] Z. Artstein and T. Rzeżuchowski, *A note on Olech's lemma*, Studia Math. **98** (1991), 91-94.
- [6] E. J. Balder, *On equivalence of strong and weak convergence in L^1 -spaces under extreme point condition*, Israel J. Math. **75** (1991), 21-47.
- [7] E. J. Balder, *From weak to strong convergence in L^1 -spaces via K -convergence*, Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata **165** (1993), 337-349.
- [8] E. J. Balder, *Connections between recent Olech-types lemmas and Visintin's theorem*, Banach Center Publications **32** (1995), 47-52.
- [9] E. J. Balder, *Lectures on Young measures*, Preprint no 9517, CEREMADE, Université Paris-Dauphine, France (1995).
- [10] H. Benabdellah, *Extrémalité, stricte convexité et convergence in L^1_E* , Séminaire Anal. Convexe Montpellier **21** (1991), 4.1-4.18.
- [11] H. Benabdellah and C. Castaing, *Weak compactness criteria and convergences in $L^1_E(\mu)$* , Collectanea Mathematica **XLVIII** (1997), 423-448..
- [12] H. Benabdellah and C. Castaing, *Weak compactness and convergences in convergences in $L^1_{E'}[E]$* , Preprint (1998), 1-40.
- [13] J. M. Borwein and A. S Lewis, *Strong rotundity and optimization*, Siam J. Optimization No 1 **4** (1994), 146-158.
- [14] J. Bourgain, *The Komlós theorem for vector valued functions*, Wrije Universiteit Brussel (1979), 9 pages.
- [15] H. Brezis, *Convergence in \mathcal{D}' and in L^1 under strict convexity jour To appear in the volume in honor of E. Magenes.*

- [16] C. Castaing, *Convergences faible et sections extrémales*, Séminaire Analyse Convexe Montpellier (1988), 2.1-2.18.
- [17] C. Castaing, *Weak compactness and convergences in Bochner and Pettis integration*, Vietnam Journal of Math. No 3 **24** (1996), 241-286.
- [18] C. Castaing and M. Valadier, *Convex analysis and measurable multifunctions*, Lectures Notes in Math., 580 Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1977).
- [19] C. Castaing and R. Pluciennik, *Denting points in Köthe-Bochner Spaces*, Set-Valued Analysis **2(3)** (1994), 439-458.
- [20] C. Dellacherie et P. Meyer, *Probabilités et Potentiel*, Hermann, Paris (1975).
- [21] J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl, *Vector measures*, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence (1977).
- [22] D. J. H. Garling, *Subsequence principles for vector-valued random variables*, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **86** (1979), 301-311.
- [23] R. Geitz, *Pettis integration*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **82** (1981), 81-86.
- [24] M. Guessous, *An elementary proof of Komlós-Révész theorem in Hilbert spaces*, Journal of Convex Analysis **4** (1997), 321-332.
- [25] R. Huff, *Remarks on Pettis integration*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1986), 402-404.
- [26] J. Komlós, *A generalization of a problem of Steinhaus*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **18** (1967), 217-229.
- [27] B. L. Lin, P. K. Lin and S. L. Troyanski, *Denting points in Bochner L^p -spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **97(4)** (1986), 629-633.
- [28] B. L. Lin, P. K. Lin and S. L. Troyanski, *Characterizations of denting points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **102** (1988), 526-528.
- [29] K. Musial, *Topics in the theory of Pettis integration*, Rendiconti dell'istituto di matematica dell'Università di Trieste, School on Measure Theory and Real Analysis Grado (Italy), 14-25 ottobre 1991, 176-262.
- [30] C. Olech, *Existence theory in optimal control*, in: Control theory and topics in functional analysis, IAEA, Vienna **1** (1976), 191-228.
- [31] T. Rzeżuchowski, *Impact of dentability on weak convergence in L^1* , Bolletino U.M.I **7** (1992), 71-80.
- [32] L. Tartar, *Compensated compactness and applications to partial differential equations*, Nonlinear Analysis and Mechanics; Heriot-Watt Symposium, (ed. R.J. Knops), Research Notes in Math. 39, London, **IV** (1979), 136-212.
- [33] M. Valadier, *Différents cas où grâce à une propriété d'extrémalité une suite de fonctions intégrables faiblement convergente, converge fortement*, Séminaire Analyse Convexe Montpellier (1989), (20 pages).
- [34] M. Valadier, *Young measures, Methods of Nonconvex Analysis (A. Cellina, ed.)*, Lectures in Math. Springer-Verlag, Berlin **1448** (1990), 152-188.
- [35] M. Valadier, *A course of Young measures*, Workshop in Teoria della Misura e Analsisi Reale, Grado, September 19-October 2, Rend. Inst. Mat. Univ. Trieste suppl. **26**, 349-394.
- [36] M. Visintin, *Strong convergence results related to strict convexity*, Comment. Partial Diff. Equation **9** (1984), 439-466.

A. BOURRAS, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ MOHAMMED V, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES, RABAT, MAROC (A.B)

C. CASTAING, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, CASE 051, UNIVERSITÉ MONTPELLIER II, F-34095 MONTPELLIER CEDEX 5, FRANCE (C.C)

M. GUESSOUS, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ HASSAN II MOHAMEDIA, FAC-
ULTÉ DES SCIENCES BEN M'SIK, BP 7955 BEN M'SIK, CASABLANCA, MAROC (M.G).