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#### Abstract

We define basic variations of Hodge structures over manifolds with transverse holomorphic foliations. We prove some important properties of basic variations of Hodge structures over compact Sasakian manifolds.


## 1. Introduction

A real Hodge structure of weight $n$ on a real vector space $V$ is a bigrading

$$
V_{\mathbb{C}}=\bigoplus_{p+q=n} V^{p, q}
$$

on the complexification $V_{\mathbb{C}}=V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\overline{V^{p, q}}=V^{q, p} .
$$

It is a well-known fact that the cohomology of a compact Kähler manifold admits a canonical real Hodge structure. Hence, corresponding to a holomorphic family of compact Kähler manifolds, we obtain a family of real Hodge structures. In [Gri2], Griffiths discovered the significant property of such family so-called Griffiths' transversality. This leads to define variations of Hodge structures over complex manifolds as flat bundles with certain structures. Now there are many applications of variations of Hodge structures. In particular, considering variations of Hodge structures over compact Kähler manifolds are very fruitful.

A Sasakian manifold is viewed as an important odd-dimensional analogue of a Kähler manifold ([Sa]). The purpose of this paper is to give analogies of variations of Hodge structures on Sasakian manifolds.
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## 2. Variations of Hodge structures over complex manifolds

Let $M$ be a complex manifold.
Definition 2.1. $\quad$ A polarized complex variation of Hodge structure of weight $w$ over $M$ is $\left(E=\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}, D, h\right)$ so that

1. $E$ is a $C^{\infty}$-complex vector bundle with a decomposition $\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}$ in a direct sum of $C^{\infty}$-subbundles,
2. $D$ is a flat connection satisfying the Griffiths transversality condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D: A^{0}\left(M, E^{p, q}\right) \\
\rightarrow & A^{0,1}\left(M, E^{p+1, q-1}\right) \oplus A^{1,0}\left(M, E^{p, q}\right) \oplus A^{0,1}\left(M, E^{p, q}\right) \oplus A^{1,0}\left(M, E^{p-1, q+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and
3. $h$ is a parallel Hermitian form so that the decomposition $\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}$ is orthogonal and $h$ is positive on $E^{p, q}$ for even $p$ and negative for odd $p$.

Let $G=\operatorname{Aut}\left(E_{x}, h_{x}\right)$ and $V=\Pi_{p+q=w} \operatorname{Aut}\left(E_{x}^{p, q}, h_{x}\right)$. By the definition, the monodromy representation $\rho: \pi_{1}(M, x) \rightarrow G L\left(E_{x}\right)$ corresponding to the flat bundle $(E, D)$ satisfies $\rho\left(\pi_{1}(M, x)\right) \subset G \cong U(s, t)$ where $s=\sum_{p \text { even }} \operatorname{dim} E^{p, q}$ and $t=\sum_{p \text { odd }} \operatorname{dim} E^{p, q}$. We notice that a polarized complex variation of Hodge structure over $M$ with a monodromy representation $\rho: \pi_{1}(M, x) \rightarrow G$ is equivalent to a $\rho$-equivalent "horizontal" holomorphic map from the universal covering of $M$ to a classifying space of polarized Hodge structures expressed as a homogeneous space $G / V$ with an appropriate complex structure.

Theorem 2.2 ([Gri3]). Let $M$ be a complex projective manifold. For any polarized complex variation of Hodge structure $\left(E=\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}, D, h\right)$ of w over $M$, the flat bundle $(E, D)$ is semi-simple.

By the Griffiths transversality, the differential $D$ on $A^{*}(M, E)$ decomposes $D=$ $\partial+\theta+\bar{\partial}+\bar{\theta}$ so that:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial: A^{a, b}\left(M, E^{c, d}\right) \rightarrow A^{a+1, b}\left(M, E^{c, d}\right), \\
\bar{\partial}: A^{a, b}\left(M, E^{c, d}\right) \rightarrow A^{a, b+1}\left(M, E^{c, d}\right), \\
\theta: A^{a, b}\left(M, E^{c, d}\right) \rightarrow A^{a+1, b}\left(M, E^{c-1, d+1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\bar{\theta}: A^{a, b}\left(M, E^{c, d}\right) \rightarrow A^{a, b+1}\left(M, E^{c+1, d-1}\right) .
$$

We define

$$
A^{*}(M, E)^{p, q}=\bigoplus_{a+c=p, b+d=q} A^{a, b}\left(M, E^{c, d}\right),
$$

$D^{\prime}=\partial+\bar{\theta}: A^{*}(M, E)^{p, q} \rightarrow A^{*}(M, E)^{p+1, q}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}=\bar{\partial}+\theta: A^{*}(M, E)^{p, q} \rightarrow$ $A^{*}(M, E)^{p, q+1}$. By the flatness $D D=0$, we have

$$
D^{\prime} D^{\prime}=D^{\prime \prime} D^{\prime \prime}=D^{\prime} D^{\prime \prime}+D^{\prime \prime} D^{\prime}=0 .
$$

We have the double complex

$$
\left(A^{*}(M, E)^{p, q}, D^{\prime}, D^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

as the usual Dolbeault complex.
Definition 2.3. A polarized real variation of Hodge structure of weight $w$ over $M$ is $\left(E_{\mathbb{R}}, E=\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}, D, Q\right)$ so that:

- $(E, D)$ is a flat complex vector bundle with a real structure $E_{\mathbb{R}} \subset E$,
- $Q$ is a parallel $(-1)^{w}$-symmetric bilinear form on $E_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- defining $h(u, v)=Q(u, \bar{v})$ on $E,\left(E=\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}, D, h\right)$ is a polarized complex variation of Hodge structure and
- $\overline{E^{p, q}}=E^{q, p}$.

Polarized real variations of Hodge structure extend Hodge structures on the de Rham cohomology of compact Kähler manifolds.

Theorem 2.4 ([Zu]). Let $M$ be a compact Kähler manifold. For any polarized real variation of Hodge structure of weight $w\left(E_{\mathbb{R}}, E=\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}, D, Q\right)$ over $M$, the filtration $F^{r}=\bigoplus_{r \leq p} A^{*}(M, E)^{p, q}$ induces a functorial real Hodge structure of weight $i+w$ on the cohomology $H^{i}\left(M, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$.

## 3. Basic variations of Hodge structures over foliated manifolds

Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a foliated manifold of co-dimension $2 q$. $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is transverse holomorphic if there is a foliation atlas $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ with local submersions $f_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{q}$
and transition functions $\tau_{\alpha \beta}: f_{\beta}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right) \rightarrow f_{\alpha}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right)$ satisfying the relations $f_{\alpha}=\tau_{\alpha \beta} f_{\beta}$ such that each $\tau_{\alpha \beta}$ is biholomorphic. Let $T \mathcal{F}$ be the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{F}$ and $N \mathcal{F}=T M / T \mathcal{F}$ the normal bundle. Then we have the canonical decomposition $N \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}=N^{1,0} \mathcal{F} \oplus N^{0,1} \mathcal{F}$ satisfying $\overline{N^{1,0} \mathcal{F}}=N^{0,1} \mathcal{F}$.

On a smooth manifold $M$, if we have a sub-bundle $E \subset T M_{\mathbb{C}}$ of complex codimension $q$ so that $E+\bar{E}=T M_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $E$ is involutive, then $M$ admits a transverse holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ such that $T \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}=E \cap \bar{E}$ and $N^{1,0} \mathcal{F}=E / E \cap \bar{E}$ (see [DK]).

A differential form $\omega$ on $M$ is called basic if the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{X} \omega=0=\mathcal{L}_{X} \omega \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for any $X \in T \mathcal{F}$. We denote by $A_{B}^{*}(M)$ the subspace of basic forms in the de Rham complex $A^{*}(M)$. Then $A_{B}^{*}(M)$ is sub-complex of the de Rham complex $A^{*}(M)$. Denote by $H_{B}^{*}(M)$ the cohomology of the basic de Rham complex $A_{B}^{*}(M)$. Suppose $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is transverse holomorphic. Corresponding to the decomposition $N \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}=N^{1,0} \mathcal{F} \oplus N^{0,1} \mathcal{F}$, we have the bigrading

$$
A_{B}^{r}(M)_{\mathbb{C}}=\bigoplus_{p+q=r} A_{B}^{p, q}(M)
$$

as well as the decomposition of the exterior differential $d_{\mid A_{B}^{r}(M)_{\mathrm{C}}}=\partial_{B}+\bar{\partial}_{B}$ on $A_{B}^{r}(M)_{\mathbb{C}}$, so that

$$
\partial_{B}: A_{B}^{p, q}(M) \rightarrow A_{B}^{p+1, q}(M) \text { and } \bar{\partial}_{B}: A_{B}^{p, q}(M) \rightarrow A_{B}^{p, q+1}(M) .
$$

A basic vector bundle $E$ over a foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is a $C^{\infty}$ vector bundle over $M$ which has local trivializations with respect to an open covering $M=\bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$ satisfying the condition that each transition function $f_{\alpha \beta}: U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\mathbb{C})$ is basic on $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$ i.e. it is constant on the leaves of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$. Suppose $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is transverse holomorphic. We say that a basic vector bundle $E$ is holomorphic if we can take each transition function transverse holomorphic. For a basic vector bundle $E$, a differential form $\omega \in A^{*}(M, E)$ with values in $E$ is called basic if $\omega$ is basic on every $U_{\alpha}$, meaning $\omega_{\mid U_{\alpha}} \in A_{B_{\mathcal{F}}}^{*}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r}$ for every $\alpha$. Let

$$
A_{B}^{*}(M, E) \subset A^{*}(M, E)
$$

denote the subspace of basic forms in the space $A^{*}(M, E)$ of differential forms with values in $E$. Corresponding to the decomposition $N \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}=N^{1,0} \mathcal{F} \oplus N^{0,1} \mathcal{F}$, we have the bigrading $A_{B}^{r}(M, E)=\bigoplus_{p+q=r} A_{B}^{p, q}(M, E)$. If $E$ is holomorphic, we can extend the operator $\bar{\partial}_{B}$ to the operator $\bar{\partial}_{E}: A_{B}^{p, q}(M, E) \rightarrow A_{B}^{p, q+1}(M, E)$
satisfying $\bar{\partial}_{E} \bar{\partial}_{E}=0$. Conversely, if we have a connection $\nabla$ of $E$ which defined as $\nabla: A^{*}(M, E) \rightarrow A^{*+1}(M, E)$ and decomposing $\nabla=\nabla^{\prime}+\nabla^{\prime \prime}$ with $\nabla^{\prime}: A_{B}^{p, q}(M, E) \rightarrow A_{B}^{p+1, q}(M, E)$ and $\nabla^{\prime \prime}: A_{B}^{p, q}(M, E) \rightarrow A_{B}^{p, q+1}(M, E)$ we have $\nabla^{\prime \prime} \nabla^{\prime \prime}=0$, then there exists a unique holomorphic bundle structure on $E$ such that $\nabla^{\prime \prime}=\bar{\partial}_{E}$ like the complex manifold case (see [Ko, Proposition 3.7]).

Example 3.1. Consider the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group

$$
H_{3}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & x & t \\
0 & 1 & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right): x, y, t \in \mathbb{R}\right\} .
$$

We have the left-invariant vector fields

$$
\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+x \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right\rangle
$$

and the left-invariant differential forms

$$
\langle d x, d y, d t-x d y\rangle .
$$

We consider the discrete subgroup

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & x & t \\
0 & 1 & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right): x, y, t \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

and the compact 3-dimensional manifold $M=\Gamma \backslash H_{3}$. Then the left-invariant vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ generates a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of co-dimension 2-dimensional so that each leaf is an $S^{1}$-orbit. Consider the parameter $(x, y, t)$ as a local coordinates of $\Gamma \backslash H_{3}$. Then the transition functions may be given by $(x, y, t) \mapsto(a+x, b+y, c+a y+t)$ for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have the transverse holomorphic structure on $\left(M=\Gamma \backslash H_{3}, \mathcal{F}\right)$ by local submersions $(x, y, t) \mapsto x+\sqrt{-1} y$. The basic de Rham complex $A_{B}^{*}(M)$ is

$$
A_{B}^{*}(M)=\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{Z}+\sqrt{-1} \mathbb{Z}} \otimes \bigwedge\langle d x, d y\rangle
$$

This is identified with the de Rham complex of the complex torus $\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Z}+\sqrt{-1} \mathbb{Z}$. Hence,

$$
H_{B}^{*}(M) \cong \bigwedge\langle d x, d y\rangle
$$

and

$$
H_{B}^{p, q}(M) \cong \bigwedge^{p}\langle d z\rangle \otimes \bigwedge_{\bigwedge}^{q}\langle d \bar{z}\rangle .
$$

Consider the $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$-trivial complex line bundle $E=M \times \mathbb{C}$. For each local coordinate $(x, y, t)$, taking nowhere vanishing section $e^{-C t}$ of $E$ for a constant $C \in \mathbb{C}$ as a local frame, the transition functions will be written by the basic functions $e^{c+a y}$. For $C \neq 0$, this gives a non-trivial basic vector bundle structure $E_{C}$ on $E$. Consider the connection $\nabla=d+C(d t-x d y)$ on $E$. Then $\nabla$ is defined as $\nabla: A_{B}^{*}(M, E) \rightarrow$ $A_{B}^{*+1}(M, E)$. The curvature of $\nabla$ is $-C d x \wedge d y$. The basic cohomology class of $\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}} C d x \wedge d y$ is the basic first Chern class of the basic vector bundle $E_{C}$. Like the usual Chern class, this is an invariant of a basic vector bundle. Thus $\left\{E_{C}\right\}$ is a family of basic vector bundles such that $E_{C} \neq E_{C^{\prime}}$ for $C \neq C^{\prime}$. Obviously, $\nabla^{\prime \prime} \nabla^{\prime \prime}=0$ and hence we have a holomorphic structure on each $E_{C}$.

We consider a flat vector bundle ( $E, D$ ) over $M$ as a basic vector bundle by local flat frames. Then, $A_{B}^{*}(M, E)$ is a sub-complex of the de Rham complex $A^{*}(M, E)$ equipped with the differential associated to the flat connection $D$. Denote by $H_{B}^{*}(M, E)$ the cohomology of $A_{B}^{*}(M, E)$.

A polarized complex basic variation of Hodge structure of weight $w$ over $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is $\left(E=\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}, D, h\right)$ so that

1. $(E, D)$ is a complex flat vector bundle.
2. $\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}$ is a direct sum of basic $C^{\infty}$-subbundles,
3. The basic Griffiths transversality condition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D: A_{B}^{0}\left(M, E^{p, q}\right) \\
\rightarrow & A_{B}^{0,1}\left(M, E^{p+1, q-1}\right) \oplus A_{B}^{1,0}\left(M, E^{p, q}\right) \oplus A_{B}^{0,1}\left(M, E^{p, q}\right) \oplus A_{B}^{1,0}\left(M, E^{p-1, q+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

holds and
4. $h$ is a parallel Hermitian form so that the decomposition $\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}$ is orthogonal and $h$ is positive on $E^{p, q}$ for even $p$ and negative for odd $p$.

By the basic Griffiths transversality, the differential $D$ on $A_{B}^{*}(M, E)$ decomposes $D=\partial+\theta+\bar{\partial}+\bar{\theta}$ so that:

$$
\partial: A_{B}^{a, b}\left(M, E^{c, d}\right) \rightarrow A_{B}^{a+1, b}\left(M, E^{c, d}\right),
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{\partial}: A_{B}^{a, b}\left(M, E^{c, d}\right) \rightarrow A_{B}^{a, b+1}\left(M, E^{c, d}\right) \\
\theta: A_{B}^{a, b}\left(M, E^{c, d}\right) \rightarrow A^{a+1, b}\left(M, E^{c-1, d+1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\bar{\theta}: A_{B}^{a, b}\left(M, E^{c, d}\right) \rightarrow A_{B}^{a, b+1}\left(M, E^{c+1, d-1}\right)
$$

We define

$$
A_{B}^{*}(M, E)^{p, q}=\bigoplus_{a+c=p, b+d=q} A_{B}^{a, b}\left(M, E^{c, d}\right)
$$

$D^{\prime}=\partial+\bar{\theta}: A_{B}^{*}(M, E)^{p, q} \rightarrow A_{B}^{*}(M, E)^{p+1, q}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}=\bar{\partial}+\theta: A_{B}^{*}(M, E)^{p, q} \rightarrow$ $A_{B}^{*}(M, E)^{p, q+1}$. By the flatness $D D=0$, we have

$$
D^{\prime} D^{\prime}=D^{\prime \prime} D^{\prime \prime}=D^{\prime} D^{\prime \prime}+D^{\prime \prime} D^{\prime}=0
$$

We have the double complex

$$
\left(A_{B}^{*}(M, E)^{p, q}, D^{\prime}, D^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

as the usual Dolbeault complex. By $D^{\prime \prime} D^{\prime}=0$, we have $\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}=0$. As [Ko, Chapter 1. Proposition 3.7], each $E^{p, q}$ can be seen as a holomorphic basic vector bundle.

A polarized real basic variation of Hodge structure of weight $w$ over $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is $\left(E_{\mathbb{R}}, E=\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}, D, Q\right)$ so that:

- $(E, D)$ is a flat complex vector bundle with a real structure $E_{\mathbb{R}} \subset E$,
- $Q$ is a parallel $(-1)^{w}$-symmetric bilinear form on $E_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- defining $h(u, v)=Q(u, \bar{v})$ on $E,\left(E=\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}, D, h\right)$ is a polarized complex basic variation of Hodge structure and
- $\overline{E^{p, q}}=E^{q, p}$.

ThEOREM 3.2. Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be a foliated manifold. Suppose $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is transverse holomorphic and admits a transverse Kähler structure $\omega$. Then the filtration $F^{r}=\bigoplus_{r \leq p} A^{*}(M, E)^{p, q}$ induces a functorial real Hodge structure of weight $i+w$ on the cohomology $H_{B}^{i}\left(M, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$.

Proof. We have the Hodge theory on the basic differential forms (see [EKA] and [KT]). As usual, we have the Kähler identities

$$
\left[\Lambda, D^{\prime}\right]=-\sqrt{-1}\left(D^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad\left[\Lambda, D^{\prime \prime}\right]=\left(D^{\prime}\right)^{*}
$$

on the operators $D^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}$. The proof is given by the standard argument.

## 4. Variations of Hodge structure over Sasakian manifolds

Let $M$ be a $(2 n+1)$-dimensional real smooth manifold. A CR-structure on $M$ is an $n$-dimensional complex sub-bundle $T^{1,0}$ of the complexified tangent bundle $T M_{\mathbb{C}}=T M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ such that $T^{1,0} \cap \overline{T^{1,0}}=\{0\}$ and $T^{1,0}$ is integrable (i.e., the locally defined sections of $T^{1,0}$ are closed under the Lie bracket operation). We shall denote $\overline{T^{1,0}}$ by $T^{0,1}$. For a CR-structure $T^{1,0}$ on $M$, there is a unique subbundle $S$ of rank $2 n$ of the real tangent bundle $T M$ together with a vector bundle homomorphism $I: S \longrightarrow S$ satisfying the conditions that

1. $I^{2}=-\mathrm{Id}_{S}$, and
2. $T^{1,0}$ is the $\sqrt{-1}$-eigenbundle of $I$.

A $(2 n+1)$-dimensional manifold $M$ equipped with a triple $\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right)$ as above is called a CR-manifold. A contact CR-manifold is a CR-manifold $M$ with a contact 1-form $\eta$ on $M$ such that $\operatorname{ker} \eta=S$. Let $\xi$ denote the Reeb vector field for the contact form $\eta$ i.e. the unique vector field characterized by $\eta(\xi)=1$ and $i_{\xi} d \eta=0$. On a contact CR-manifold, the above homomorphism $I$ extends to entire $T M$ by setting $I(\xi)=0$.

Definition 4.1. A contact CR-manifold $\left(M,\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right),(\eta, \xi)\right)$ is a strongly pseudo-convex CR-manifold if the Hermitian form (called the Levi form) $L_{\eta}$ on $S_{x}$ defined by $L_{\eta}(X, Y)=d \eta(X, I Y), X, Y \in S_{x}$, is positive definite for every point $x \in M$.

Given any strongly pseudo-convex CR-manifold $\left(M,\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right),(\eta, \xi)\right)$, there is a canonical Riemann metric $g_{\eta}$ on $M$, called the Webster metric, which is defined to be

$$
g_{\eta}(X, Y):=L_{\eta}(X, Y)+\eta(X) \eta(Y), \quad X, Y \in T_{x} M, x \in M .
$$

For another strongly pseudo-convex CR-manifold ( $\left.M^{\prime},\left(T^{1,0 \prime}, S^{\prime}, I^{\prime}\right),\left(\eta^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right)$, a map $f: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is CR-holomorphic if $d f \circ I=I^{\prime} \circ d f$. For any $x \in M$, by
$\operatorname{ker}\left(I_{x}\right)=\left\langle\xi_{x}\right\rangle$, we have $d f_{x}\left(\xi_{x}\right)=c(x) \xi_{f(x)}^{\prime}$ and $f^{*} \eta^{\prime}=c(x) \eta$ for some positive function $c(x)$ on $M$.

Remark 4.2. For two CR manifolds $\left(M,\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right)\right)$ and $\left(M^{\prime},\left(T^{1,0 \prime}, S^{\prime}, I^{\prime}\right)\right)$, a map $f: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is a CR-map if $d f\left(T_{x}^{1,0}\right) \subset T_{f(x)}^{1,0 \prime}$ for any $x \in M$. On strongly pseudo-convex $C R$-manifolds, $C R$-holomorphic maps are $C R$ maps. But the converse may not be true.

For a complex manifold $(N, J)$, a map $f: M \rightarrow N$ is CR-holomorphic if $d f \circ I=$ $J \circ d f$. By $0=d f \circ I(\xi)=J \circ d f(\xi)$, we have $d f_{x}\left(\xi_{x}\right)=0$ for any $x \in M$. A map $f: M \rightarrow N$ satisfies $d f_{x}\left(\xi_{x}\right)=0$ and $d f_{x}\left(T^{1,0}\right) \subset T^{1,0} N$ for any $x \in M$ if and only if $f: M \rightarrow N$ is CR-holomorphic.

Definition 4.3. A Sasakian manifold is a strongly pseudo-convex CRmanifold

$$
\left(M,\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right),(\eta, \xi)\right)
$$

such that for any section $\zeta$ of $T^{1,0},[\xi, \zeta]$ is also a section of $T^{1,0}$.
Let $\left(M,\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right),(\eta, \xi)\right)$ be a compact Sasakian manifold. The flow of Reeb vector field $\xi$ defines a 1 -dimensional foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\xi}$ on $M$. By the definition of the Sasakian manifold, the CR-structure $T^{1,0}$ defines a transverse holomorphic structure on the foliated manifold $\left(M, \mathcal{F}_{\xi}\right)$. Furthermore, the closed basic 2-form $d \eta$ is a transverse Kähler structure with respect to this transverse holomorphic structure.

Example 4.4. Consider the Heisenberg Lie group $H_{3}$ as in Example 3.1. Then, the complex sub-bundle $T^{1,0}$ in $T H_{3 C}$ generated by

$$
\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-\sqrt{-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}+x \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\right\rangle
$$

is a left-invariant CR structure on $H_{3}$. Take $\eta=d t-x d y$ and $\xi=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. Then $\eta=d t-x d y$ is a contact structure and $\xi$ is its Reeb vector field. Since we have

$$
\left[\xi, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}-\sqrt{-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}+x \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\right]=0
$$

we obtain a left-invariant Sasakian structure on $H_{3}$. By the left-invariance, this induces a Sasakian structure on the compact quotient $\Gamma \backslash H_{3}$.

The main purpose of this paper is to study a basic polarized variation of Hodge structure over the foliated manifold $\left(M, \mathcal{F}_{\xi}\right)$. We first show the semi-simplicity as Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 4.5. Let $\left(M,\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right),(\eta, \xi)\right)$ be a compact Sasakian manifold. For any basic polarized complex variation of Hodge structure $(E=$ $\left.\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}, D, h\right)$ of weight $w$ over $\left(M, \mathcal{F}_{\xi}\right)$, the flat bundle $(E, D)$ is semi-simple.

Proof. Define the Hermitian metric $H$ on $E$ so that $H(v, w)=(-1)^{p} h(v, w)$ for $v, w \in E^{p, q}$. Since $\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}$ is a direct sum of basic $C^{\infty}$-subbundles, $H$ is basic. But it may not be parallel. Consider $\phi=\theta+\bar{\theta}$ and $\nabla=D-\phi$. Then $\nabla$ is a unitary connection and $\phi$ is a basic 1 -form with values in the self-adjoint part of $\operatorname{End}(E)$ corresponding to $H$. Applying [BK, Theorem 4.2], we can say that the Hermitian metric $H$ is harmonic (i.e. $\nabla^{*} \phi=0$ ). By [Co, Proposition 3.2], the flat bundle $(E, D)$ is semi-simple.

Remark 4.6. In $[\mathrm{Co}]$, Corlette proved the semi-simplicity for polarized complex variations of Hodge structures over compact Kähler manifolds by using harmonic metrics. This is different from Griffiths's proof in [Gri3]. The proof of Theorem 4.5 is based on Corlette's idea.

For two Sasakian manifolds $\left(M,\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right),(\eta, \xi)\right)$ and $\left(M^{\prime},\left(T^{1,0 \prime}, S^{\prime}, I^{\prime}\right),\left(\eta^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right)$, consider a CR-holomorphic map $f: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$. We have $f^{*} \eta^{\prime}=c(x) \eta$ for some positive function $c(x)$ on $M$. Since $d \eta^{\prime}$ is basic, we have

$$
d f^{*} \eta^{\prime}\left(\xi_{x}, X\right)=f^{*} d \eta^{\prime}\left(\xi_{x}, X\right)=d \eta^{\prime}\left(d f\left(\xi_{x}\right), d f(X)\right)=d \eta^{\prime}\left(c(x) \xi_{f(x)}^{\prime}, d f(X)\right)=0
$$

for any $X \in T M_{x}$. By $\mathcal{L}_{\xi} d \eta=0$, this implies

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\xi}(c) \eta=\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\left(f^{*} \eta^{\prime}\right)=\left(i_{\xi} d+d i_{\xi}\right)\left(f^{*} \eta^{\prime}\right)=d i_{\xi} f^{*} \eta^{\prime}=d c
$$

and so $d c=0$ on the sub-bundle $S \subset T M$. This implies the following claim (cf. [DT, Lemma 4.1]).

Claim 4.7. $c(x)$ is constant.
Proof. Since $T M=S \oplus\langle\xi\rangle$, it is sufficient to prove $d c\left(\xi_{x}\right)=0$ for any $x \in M$. We use the Tanaka-Webster connection:

Proposition 4.8 ([Ta], [We]). For a strongly pseudo-convex CR-manifold

$$
\left(M,\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right),(\eta, \xi)\right)
$$

there exists a unique affine connection $\nabla^{T W}$ on $T M$ such that the following hold:

1. $\nabla^{T W}\left(C^{\infty}(S)\right) \subset A^{1}(M, S)$, where $A^{k}(M, S)$ is the space of differential $k$ forms on $M$ with values in the vector bundle $S$.
2. $\nabla^{T W} \xi=0, \nabla^{T W} I=0, \nabla^{T W} d \eta=0, \nabla^{T W} \eta=0$ and $\nabla^{T W} g_{\eta}=0$.
3. The torsion $T^{T W}$ of the affine connection $\nabla^{T W}$ satisfies the equation

$$
T^{T W}(X, Y)=-d \eta(X, Y) \xi
$$

for all $X, Y \in S_{x}$ and $x \in M$.
Since $d \eta$ is non-degenerate on $S$, we can take local sections $X, Y$ of $S$ such that $d \eta\left(X_{x}, Y_{x}\right) \neq 0$. By the third property of the connection $\nabla^{T W}$ in Proposition 4.8, we have

$$
\nabla_{X}^{T W} Y-\nabla_{Y}^{T W} X-[X, Y]=-d \eta(X, Y) \xi
$$

By the first property in Proposition 4.8, $\nabla_{X}^{T W} Y-\nabla_{Y}^{T W} X$ is a local section of $S$. Hence

$$
-d \eta(X, Y) d c(\xi)=d c\left(\nabla_{X}^{T W} Y-\nabla_{Y}^{T W} X\right)-X(Y(c))+Y(X(c))=0
$$

This implies $d c\left(\xi_{x}\right)=0$.
Remark 4.9. Usually, morphisms between CR manifolds are CR-maps. But we would like to adopt CR-holomorphic maps as morphisms between Sasakian manifolds rather than CR maps. A CR-holomorphic map between Sasakian manifolds can be regarded as an analogue of a holomorphic map between Kähler manifolds (see [IP], [Pe]). For example, CR-holomorphic maps between Sasakian manifolds are harmonic and an analogue of the Siu theorem ([Siu]) follows.

Since the pull-back $f^{*} \varphi$ of a basic function $\varphi$ on $\left(M^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}_{\xi^{\prime}}\right)$ is a basic function on $\left(M, \mathcal{F}_{\xi}\right)$, the pullback $f^{*} E$ of a basic vector bundle $E$ over $\left(M^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}_{\xi^{\prime}}\right)$ is a basic vector bundle $E$ over $\left(M, \mathcal{F}_{\xi}\right)$. The pull-back $f^{*}$ on the de Rham complex is restricted as $f^{*}: A_{B}^{*}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow A_{B}^{*}(M)$ and this map is a morphism of double complexes. For a basic polarized complex variation of Hodge structure of $w\left(E=\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}, D, h\right)$
over $\left(M^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}_{\xi^{\prime}}\right)$, the pull-back $\left(f^{*} E=\bigoplus_{p+q=w} f^{*} E^{p, q}, f^{*} D, f^{*} h\right)$ over $\left(M, \mathcal{F}_{\xi}\right)$. The pull-back $f^{*}: A^{*}\left(M^{\prime}, E\right) \rightarrow A^{*}\left(M, f^{*} E\right)$ is restricted as $f^{*}: A_{B}^{*}\left(M^{\prime}, E\right) \rightarrow$ $A_{B}^{*}\left(M, f^{*} E\right)$ and this map is a morphism of double complexes.

Like complex case, we see the correspondence between basic polarized complex variations of Hodge structure over Sasakian manifolds and equivariant maps into classifying spaces of polarized Hodge structures. For a compact Sasakian manifold $\left(M,\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right),(\eta, \xi)\right.$ ), we take the universal cover $p: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ associated with a base point $x \in M$. We consider the Sasakian manifold $\left(\tilde{M},\left(T^{\tilde{1}, 0}, \tilde{S}, \tilde{I}\right),(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\xi})\right)$. Let $\left(E=\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}, D, h\right)$ a basic polarized complex variation of Hodge structure of weight $w$ over $\left(M, \mathcal{F}_{\xi}\right)$. Let $G=\operatorname{Aut}\left(E_{x}, h_{x}\right)$ and $V=\Pi_{p+q=w} \operatorname{Aut}\left(E_{x}^{p, q}, h_{x}\right)$. Take the monodromy $\rho: \pi_{1}(M, x) \rightarrow G L\left(E_{x}\right)$ of the flat bundle $(E, D)$. Since $h$ is parallel, we have $\rho\left(\pi_{1}(M, x)\right) \subset G$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $G$. The decomposition $E=\bigoplus_{p+q=w}$ gives the Hodge structure $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}=\bigoplus_{r} \mathfrak{g}^{-r, r}$. For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{v}$ of $V$, we have $\mathfrak{v}=\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{0,0}$. Consider the Homogeneous space $G / V$. We identify

$$
T(G / V)=(G \times \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{v}) / V=\left(G \times \mathfrak{g} \cap\left(\bigoplus_{r \neq 0} \mathfrak{g}^{-r, r}\right)\right) / V
$$

It is known that $G / V$ is the classifying space for $h_{x}$-polarized complex Hodge structures of type $\left\{d^{p, q}\right\}$ where $d^{p, q}=\operatorname{dim} E_{x}^{p, q}$. This means that every $h_{x}$-polarized complex Hodge structure of type $\left\{d^{p, q}\right\}$ is given by $g E_{x}^{p, q}$ for a unique $g V \in G / V$. The Hermitian metric $H$ as above corresponds to a $\rho$-equivariant map $\Phi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow$ $G L\left(E_{x}\right) / \operatorname{Aut}\left(E_{x}, H_{x}\right)$ so that $d \Phi_{y}=\phi_{p(y)}$ where we identify $E=\pi_{1}(M, x) \backslash(\tilde{M} \times$ $\left.E_{x}\right)$ and $T\left(G L\left(E_{x}\right) / \operatorname{Aut}\left(E_{x}, H_{x}\right)\right)=\left(G L\left(E_{x}\right) \times S\left(E_{x}, H_{x}\right)\right) / \operatorname{Aut}\left(E_{x}, H_{x}\right)$ for the vector space $S\left(E_{x}, H_{x}\right)$ of the self-adjoint linear operators on $E_{x}$. Since $H$ comes from polarized complex Hodge structures on $E_{x}$, we can define $\Phi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow G / V$.

Defining the complex structure on $G / V$ whose holomorphic tangent bundle is $T^{1,0}(G / V)=\left(G \times \bigoplus_{r>0} \mathfrak{g}^{-r, r}\right) / V$. We have the holomorphic sub-bundle

$$
T_{h}^{1,0}(G / V)=\left(G \times \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}\right) / V \subset T^{1,0}(G / V)
$$

Now, for any $y \in$ we have $d \Phi_{y}\left(\tilde{\xi}_{x}\right)=0$ and the basic Griffiths transversality implies

$$
d \Phi_{y}\left(T_{y}^{1,0} \tilde{M}\right)=\theta\left(T_{p(y)}^{1,0} M\right) \subset T_{h}^{1,0}(G / V)_{\Phi(y)} .
$$

In particular, the map $\Phi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow G / V \subset G L\left(E_{x}\right) / \operatorname{Aut}\left(E_{x}, H_{x}\right)$ is CR-holomorphic.
Conversely, if we have:

- a polarized complex Hodge structure $\left(E_{x}=\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E_{x}^{p, q}, h_{x}\right)$ on a vector space $E_{x}$,
- a representation $\rho: \pi_{1}(M, x) \rightarrow G$ and
- a CR-holomorphic $\rho$-equivariant map $\Phi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow G / V$ such that $d \Phi_{y}\left(T_{y}^{1,0} \tilde{M}\right) \subset$ $T_{h}^{1,0}(G / V)_{\Phi(y)}$ for any $y \in \tilde{M}$
where we define $G, V$ and $T_{h}^{1,0}(G / V)$ in the same manner as above, then we obtain a basic polarized complex variation of Hodge structure $\left(E=\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}, D, h\right)$ so that $E=\pi_{1}(M, x) \backslash\left(\tilde{M} \times_{\rho} E_{x}\right)$ and for each $y \in \tilde{M}, E_{y}^{p, q}=\Psi(y) E_{x}^{p, q}$ by taking $\Psi(y) \in G$ as $\Phi(y)=\Psi(y) V \in G / V$.

Remark 4.10. Similarly a basic polarized real variation of Hodge structure $\left(E_{\mathbb{R}}, E=\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}, D, Q\right)$ corresponds to

- a polarized real Hodge structure $\left(E_{\mathbb{R}, x}, E_{x}=\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E_{x}^{p, q}, h_{x}\right)$ on a vector space $E_{x}$,
- a representation $\rho: \pi_{1}(M, x) \rightarrow G$ and
- a CR-holomorphic $\rho$-equivariant map $\Phi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow G / V$ such that $d \Phi_{y}\left(T_{y}^{1,0} \tilde{M}\right) \subset$ $T_{h}^{1,0}(G / V)_{\Phi(y)}$ for any $y \in \tilde{M}$
where $G=\operatorname{Aut}\left(E_{\mathbb{R}, x}, Q_{x}\right), V=\left\{g \in G: g E_{x}^{p, q}=E_{x}^{p, q} \forall(p, q)\right\}$ and for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$ with the Hodge structure $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}=\bigoplus_{r} \mathfrak{g}^{-r, r}$ associated with $E_{x}=$ $\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E_{x}^{p, q}, T_{h}^{1,0}(G / V)=\left(G \times \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}\right) / V \subset T^{1,0}(G / V)$.

Remark 4.11. For $t \in U(1)$, we have $\tau(t) \in V$ so that $\tau(t) v=t^{p-q} v$ for $v \in E_{x}^{p, q}$. We consider the 1-dimensional sub-torus $S \subset V$ associated with $\tau: U(1) \rightarrow V$. Then $S$ is contained in the center $C$ of $V$. Since $C$ is a torus and a direct factor of $V$, we can take a closed subgroup $V^{\prime} \subset V$ such that $V=V^{\prime} \times S$. Consider the homogeneous space $G / V^{\prime}$. Then we have $T\left(G / V^{\prime}\right)=\left(G \times \mathfrak{g} \cap\left(\bigoplus_{r \neq 0} \mathfrak{g}^{-r, r}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{s}\right) / V^{\prime}$ where $\mathfrak{s}$ is the Lie algebra of $S$. We define the CR structure $T^{1,0}=\left(G \times \bigoplus_{r>0} \mathfrak{g}^{-r, r}\right) / V^{\prime}$. It may be interesting to extend CR-holomorphic maps $\Phi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow G / V$ corresponding to basic polarized complex variations of Hodge structure to CR-holomorphic maps $\Phi^{\prime}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow G / V^{\prime}$.

We can see $G / V^{\prime}$ admits a pseudo-Sasakian structure. Since the action of $V^{\prime}$ on $\mathfrak{s}$ is trivial, we have a real left-invariant vector field $\xi$ on $G / V^{\prime}$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{s}$ and a real left-invariant 1-form $\eta$ on $G / V^{\prime}$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{s}^{*}$ such
that $\xi(\eta)=1$. Then $i_{\xi} d \eta=0$ and $\left[\xi, T^{1,0}\right] \subset T^{1,0}$. We define the symmetric bilinear form $B$ on $\mathfrak{g} \cap\left(\bigoplus_{r \neq 0} \mathfrak{g}^{-r, r}\right) \bigoplus \mathfrak{s}$ such that $B(X, Y)=-\operatorname{Tr}(X Y)$. Then $B$ polarizes the Hodge structure $\bigoplus_{r \neq 0} \mathfrak{g}^{-r, r} \oplus \mathfrak{s}_{\mathbb{C}}$ where $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the component of type $(0,0)$ (see [Sch, Lemma 8.8]). For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}^{-r, r}$, for some $T \in \mathfrak{s}$, we have $B([X, \bar{Y}], T)=-B(X,[T, \bar{Y}])=-r \sqrt{-1} B(X, \bar{Y})$. This implies that the leftinvariant Hermitian form $\sqrt{-1} d \eta(X, \bar{Y})=-\sqrt{-1} \eta([X, \bar{Y}])$ on $T^{1,0}$ has the same rank and same signature as $B(X, \bar{Y})$. Thus $\eta$ is a contact form on $G / V^{\prime}$ which is compatible with the $C R$-structure $T^{1,0}$ and its Levi form $L_{\eta}$ has the signature $(s, t)$ where $s=\sum_{p \text { even }} \operatorname{dim} E^{p, q}$ and $t=\sum_{p \text { odd }} \operatorname{dim} E^{p, q}$.

We give an analogue of Theorem 2.4.

THEOREM 4.12. $\operatorname{Let}\left(M,\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right),(\eta, \xi)\right)$ be a compact Sasakian manifold. For a polarized real basic variation of Hodge structure of weight $w$ over $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is $\left(E_{\mathbb{R}}, E=\bigoplus_{p+q=w} E^{p, q}, D, Q\right)$, there exists a functorial real Hodge structure on the cohomology $H^{i}\left(M, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ of weight $i+w$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ or of weight $i+w+1$ for $n+1 \leq i \leq 2 n$.

Proof. As [Ka], we have the quasi-isomorphisms

$$
A^{*}\left(M, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{ker} D^{c} \oplus \operatorname{ker} D^{c} \wedge \eta \rightarrow H_{B}^{*}\left(M, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \oplus H_{B}^{*}\left(M, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \otimes\langle\eta\rangle
$$

By Theorem 3.2, there exists a functorial Hodge structure on $H_{B}^{i}\left(M, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ of weight $i+w$. Putting the Hodge structure on $\langle\eta\rangle$ so that $\eta$ is of type $(1,1)$, we have the Hodge structure on $H_{B}^{i-1}\left(M, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \otimes\langle\eta\rangle$ of weight $i+w+1$. As the usual Lefschetz decomposition, the map $H_{B}^{r}\left(M, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \ni a \mapsto a \wedge d \eta \in H_{B}^{r+2}\left(M, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ is injective for $r \leq n-1$ and surjective for $r \geq n-1$. Thus the cohomology $H^{i}\left(M, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ is canonically isomorphic to

$$
H_{B}^{i}\left(M, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right) / H_{B}^{i-2}\left(M, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \wedge d \eta
$$

for $i \leq n$ or canonically isomorphic to

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(H_{B}^{i-1}\left(M, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \ni a \mapsto a \wedge d \eta \in H_{B}^{i+1}\left(M, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right)\right) \otimes\langle\eta\rangle
$$

for $n+1 \leq i \leq 2 n$. Thus, the Hodge structure as in the statement exists.
We show the functoriality. Let $f: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ be a CR-holomorphic map. Via the quasi-isomorphisms as above, the pull-back $f^{*}: A^{*}\left(M^{\prime}, E\right) \rightarrow A^{*}\left(M, f^{*} E\right)$
corresponds to the map

$$
f^{*}: H_{B}^{*}\left(M^{\prime}, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \oplus H_{B}^{*}\left(M^{\prime}, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \otimes\left\langle\eta^{\prime}\right\rangle \rightarrow H_{B}^{*}\left(M, f^{*} E_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \oplus H_{B}^{*}\left(M, f^{*} E_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \otimes\langle\eta\rangle
$$

Since the map $f^{*}: H_{B}^{i}\left(M^{\prime}, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \rightarrow H_{B}^{i}\left(M, f^{*} E_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ is a morphism of Hodge structures and $f^{*} \eta^{\prime}=c \eta$ for some constant $c$, the map

$$
f^{*}: H_{B}^{*}\left(M^{\prime}, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \oplus H_{B}^{*}\left(M^{\prime}, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \otimes\left\langle\eta^{\prime}\right\rangle \rightarrow H_{B}^{*}\left(M, f^{*} E_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \oplus H_{B}^{*}\left(M, f^{*} E_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \otimes\langle\eta\rangle .
$$

induces a morphism of Hodge structures on the cohomology. Hence the map $f^{*}$ : $H^{i}\left(M^{\prime}, E_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \rightarrow H^{i}\left(M, f^{*} E_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ is a morphism of Hodge structures.

## 5. Remarks on Sasakian manifolds and Kähler manifolds(orbifolds)

Let $X$ be a complex projective manifold with a Hodge metric i.e. a Kähler metric whose Kähler form $\omega$ determines an integral cohomology class $[\omega] \in H^{2}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Then, we have a principal circle bundle (Boothby-Wang fibration) $\pi: M \rightarrow X$ and a 1 -form $\eta$ on $M$ such that $d \eta=\pi^{*} \omega$. It is known that we can take $\eta$ a contact form on $M$ and defining the CR-structure $T^{1,0}$ by the horizontal lifting of $T^{1,0} X, \eta$ and $T^{1,0}$ constitute a Sasakian structure on $M$ (see [Bl]). Pulling back of variations of Hodge structure over $X$, we obtain basic variations of Hodge structure over the Sasakian manifold $M$.

An $n$-dimensional $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ (complex) orbifold is a paracompact Hausdorff space $X$ with a family $\mathcal{U}=\left(\left\{U_{\alpha}, \tilde{U}_{\alpha}, \Gamma_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha}\right\}\right)_{\alpha}$ such that:

- For each $\alpha, \tilde{U}_{\alpha}$ is a connected open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ containing the origin, $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ is a finite subgroup in the orthogonal group $O(n)$ (the unitary group $U(n)$ ) and $\phi_{\alpha}$ is a $\Gamma_{\alpha}$-invariant continuous map from $\tilde{U}_{\alpha}$ into an open subset $U_{\alpha}$ in $M$ which induces a homeomorphism between $\tilde{U}_{\alpha} / \Gamma_{\alpha}$ and $U_{\alpha}$.
- $M=\bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$.
- For two $\left\{U_{\alpha}, \tilde{U}_{\alpha}, \Gamma_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha}\right\}$ and $\left\{U_{\beta}, \tilde{U}_{\beta}, \Gamma_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha}\right\}$ with $x \in U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$, there exists $\left\{U_{\gamma}, \tilde{U}_{\gamma}, \Gamma_{\gamma}, \phi_{\gamma}\right\}$ such that $x \in U_{\gamma}$ and there are $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ (holomorphic) embeddings $\lambda_{\alpha \gamma}: \tilde{U}_{\gamma} \rightarrow \tilde{U}_{\alpha}$ and $\lambda_{\beta \gamma}: \tilde{U}_{\gamma} \rightarrow \tilde{U}_{\beta}$ satisfying $\phi_{\alpha} \circ \lambda_{\alpha \gamma}=\phi_{\gamma}$ and $\phi_{\beta} \circ \lambda_{\beta \gamma}=\phi_{\gamma}$.

If each finite group $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ is trivial, an orbifold $(X, \mathcal{U})$ is a smooth manifold. A Riemannian (Hermitian) metric on a $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ (complex) orbifold $(X, \mathcal{U})$ is a family $\left(g_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$ consisting of a Riemannian (Hermitian) metrics on each $\tilde{U}_{\alpha}$ such that each $g_{\alpha}$ is
$\Gamma_{\alpha}$-invariant and any $\lambda_{\alpha \gamma}$ is an isometry. A Hermitian metric on a complex orbifold $(X, \mathcal{U})$ is Kähler if each $g_{\alpha}$ is a Kähler metric on $\tilde{U}_{\alpha}$. For a Lie group $G$, a principal $G$-orbibundle over a $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ orbifold $(X, \mathcal{U})$ consists principal $G$-bundles $p_{\alpha}: B_{\alpha} \rightarrow U_{\alpha}$ with homomorphisms $h_{\alpha}: \Gamma_{\alpha} \rightarrow G$ such that:

- $p_{\alpha}\left(b h_{\alpha}(\gamma)\right)=\gamma^{-1} p(b)$ for $b \in B_{\alpha}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\alpha}$.
- For any $\lambda_{\alpha \gamma}: \tilde{U}_{\gamma} \rightarrow \tilde{U}_{\alpha}$, there exists a bundle map $\Lambda_{\alpha \gamma}: p_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{\alpha \gamma}\left(\tilde{U}_{\gamma}\right)\right) \rightarrow B_{\gamma}$ such that for $g_{\gamma} \in \Gamma_{\gamma}$ and $g_{\alpha} \in \Gamma_{\alpha}$ with $\lambda_{\alpha \gamma} \circ g_{\gamma}=g_{\alpha} \circ \lambda_{\alpha \gamma}, h_{\gamma}\left(g_{\gamma}\right) \circ \Lambda_{\alpha \gamma}=$ $\Lambda_{\alpha \gamma} \circ h_{\alpha}\left(g_{\alpha}\right)$.

Similarly we define a (holomorphic) vector orbibundle over a $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ (complex) orbifold $(X, \mathcal{U})$, replacing $p_{\alpha}: B_{\alpha} \rightarrow U_{\alpha}$ with vector bundles and $h_{\alpha}: \Gamma_{\alpha} \rightarrow G$ with homomorphisms from $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ into linear transformations. For a (holomorphic) vector orbibundle $E \rightarrow X$, we define a section of $E$ as a family of $\Gamma_{\alpha}$-equivariant sections which are compatible with the transition structure of $E$. In the usual manner, we define the de Rham (Dolbeault) complex $\left(A^{*}(X), d\right)\left(\left(A^{* *}(X), \partial, \bar{\partial}\right)\right)$ of a $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ (complex) orbifold $(X, \mathcal{U})$. We also define a connection on a vector orbibundle $E \rightarrow X$ as a differential operator $D$ on the space $A^{*}(X, E)$ of differential forms with values in $E$ and we say a connection $D$ is flat if $D D=0$. Let $M$ be a complex orbifold. We define a polarized complex (real) variation of Hodge structure of weight $w$ over a complex orbifold $M$ by the same manner as in Definition 2.1 (2.3).

A compact Sasakian manifold $\left(M,\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right),(\eta, \xi)\right)$ is called quasi-regular if every leaf of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\xi}$ is closed. For any compact Sasakian manifold $\left(M,\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right),(\eta, \xi)\right)$, we can take another contact form $\eta^{\prime}$ with the Reeb vector field $\xi^{\prime}$ so that $\left(M,\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right),\left(\eta^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is quasi-regular (see [BG, Section 8.2.3]. Under the quasi-regular condition, the leaf space $M / \mathcal{F}_{\xi}$ admits a canonical Kähler orbifold structure and $M$ is the total space of a principal $S^{1}$-orbibundle over $M / \mathcal{F}_{\xi}($ see $[\mathrm{BG}])$. Precisely, the basic two form $d \eta$ induces a Kähler structure on the orbifold $M / \mathcal{F}_{\xi}$ which is a representative a integral class of the orbifold cohomology. We note that the orbifold $M / \mathcal{F}_{\xi}$ is a projective algebraic variety by the result in [1]. Since $M$ is a smooth manifold, each homomorphism $h_{\alpha}: \Gamma_{\alpha} \rightarrow S^{1}$ for this principal $S^{1}$-orbibundle is injective. Pulling back of variations of Hodge structure over $M / \mathcal{F}_{\xi}$, we obtain basic variations of Hodge structure over the Sasakian manifold $M$.

## 6. Examples

Consider $S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and its universal covering $\pi: \widetilde{S L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Let

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad Y=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad Z=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s l} l_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ of $S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$, we have $\mathfrak{s l} l_{2}(\mathbb{R})=\langle X, Y, Z\rangle$ and $[X, Z]=$ $-2 Y,[Y, Z]=2 X$ and $[X, Y]=-2 Z$. We regard $\mathfrak{s l} l_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ as the left-invariant vector fields. Then, $W=\frac{1}{2}(X-\sqrt{-1} Y)$ defines a left-invariant CR structure $T^{1,0}$ on $\widetilde{S L}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Consider the dual $\mathfrak{s l} l_{2}(\mathbb{R})^{*}=\langle x, y, z\rangle$ as the left-invariant differential forms. Then, $\eta=z$ is a left-invariant contact structure on $\widetilde{S L}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and we have the strongly pseudo-convex CR-manifold $\left(\widetilde{S L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}),\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right),(\eta, \xi)\right)$ with $\xi=Z$. Since $[Z, W]=2 \sqrt{-1} W$, this is a Sasakian manifold.

Let $\widetilde{\Gamma} \subset \widetilde{S L}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ be a cocompact discrete subgroup. Consider the Sasakian manifold $\left(\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{S L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}),\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right),(\eta, \xi)\right)$. Let $\rho_{k}: S L_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G L_{k+1}(\mathbb{R})$ be the irreducible representation which is the $k$-th symmetric product of the standard representation $S L_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. For the representation $\tilde{\rho_{k}}=\rho_{k} \circ \pi: \widetilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow$ $G L_{k+1}(\mathbb{R})$, we define the flat bundle $E_{\mathbb{R}}^{k}=\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash\left(\widetilde{S L_{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \times_{\tilde{\rho_{k}}} \mathbb{R}^{k+1}\right)$ with the natural flat connection $D$. Corresponding $v \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ to the section $\tilde{\rho_{k}}(g) v$ of $E_{\mathbb{R}}^{k}$, we have the trivialization $E_{\mathbb{R}}^{k}=\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{S L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ such that $D=d+\omega$ with

$$
\omega=\rho_{k}(X) \otimes x+\rho_{k}(Y) \otimes y+\rho_{k}(Z) \otimes z
$$

Let $\mathbb{C}^{k+1}=\oplus_{0 \leq p \leq k} V_{k-2 p}$ so that $\rho_{k}(Z)(v)=(k-2 p) \sqrt{-1} v$ for $v \in V_{k-2 p}$. Then we have

$$
\rho_{k}(W) V_{k-2 p} \subset V_{k-2 p+2} \quad \text { and } \quad \rho_{k}(\bar{W}) V_{k-2 p} \subset V_{k-2 p-2} .
$$

Define the subbundle $E^{p, k-q}=\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{S L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \times V_{k-2 p}$ of $E^{k}=E_{\mathbb{R}}^{k} \otimes \mathbb{C}=\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{S L_{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \times$ $\mathbb{C}^{k+1}$. Taking the 1-parameter subgroup associated with the vector field $\xi=Z$, this can be considered as an $S^{1}=S O(2)$-action (see $\left.[\mathrm{RV}]\right)$. This $S^{1}$-action is extended to a $S^{1}$-action on $E_{\mathbb{R}}^{k}$. We can see that for $t \in S^{1}$ and $([g], v) \in \widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{S L_{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, $t \cdot(\widetilde{\Gamma} g, v)=\left(\widetilde{\Gamma} g t, \rho_{k}(t)^{-1} v\right)$. By this condition, $E^{k}=\bigoplus_{p+q=k} E^{p, q}$ is a direct sum of basic $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$-subbundles. Let $D=\nabla+\theta+\bar{\theta}$ where

$$
\nabla=d+\rho_{k}(Z) \otimes z, \quad \theta=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{k}(W) \otimes w \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{\theta}=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{k}(\bar{W}) \otimes \bar{w}
$$

where $w=x+\sqrt{-1} y$. Since we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla: A_{B}^{0}\left(\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{S L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), E^{p, q}\right) \rightarrow A_{B}^{1}\left(\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{S L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), E^{p, q}\right), \\
\theta: A_{B}^{0,0}\left(\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{S L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), E^{p, q}\right) \rightarrow A_{B}^{1,0}\left(\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{S L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), E^{p-1, q+1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\bar{\theta}: A_{B}^{0,0}\left(\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{S L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), E^{p, q}\right) \rightarrow A_{B}^{0,1}\left(\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{S L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), E^{p+1, q-1}\right)
$$

the basic Griffiths transversality condition holds. We define a parallel $(-1)^{k}$ symmetric bilinear form $Q$ on $E_{\mathbb{R}}^{k}$ induced by the skew-symmetric form on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ associated with the determinant on $G L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Finally, we have the polarized real basic variation of Hodge structure $\left(E_{\mathbb{R}}^{k}, E^{k}=\bigoplus_{p+q=k} E^{p, q}, D, Q\right)$ of weight $k$ over $\left(\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{S L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{F}_{\xi}\right)$.

Remark 6.1. The compact Sasakian manifold $\left(\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{S L}_{2}(\mathbb{R}),\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right),(\eta, \xi)\right)$ is quasi-regular. The leaf space $M / \mathcal{F}_{\xi}$ is a hyperbolic orbifold Riemann surface $\pi(\widetilde{\Gamma}) \backslash H$ where $H$ is the upper-half plane with the hyperbolic metric. The basic vector bundle $E^{p, q}$ is the pull-back of the vector orbibundle $L^{p-q}$ over $\pi(\widetilde{\Gamma}) \backslash H$ where $L$ is a square root of the canonical bundle of the orbifold Riemann surface $\pi(\widetilde{\Gamma}) \backslash H$.

Let $G$ be a connected non-compact simple Lie group and $K$ a maximal compact subgroup of $G$. We assume that $G$ is of Hermitian type i.e. $G / K$ is a Hermitian symmetric space of non-compact type. Consider the universal covering $\pi: \widetilde{G} \rightarrow G$ and the subgroup $\widetilde{K}=\pi^{-1}(K)$. Then $\widetilde{K}$ has a unique maximal compact subgroup $\widetilde{K}_{1}$ which is a maximal compact subgroup in $\widetilde{G}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\operatorname{Lie}(G)=\operatorname{Lie}(\widetilde{G}), \mathfrak{k}=$ $\operatorname{Lie}(K)=\operatorname{Lie}(\widetilde{K})$ and $\mathfrak{k}_{1}=\operatorname{Lie}\left(\widetilde{K}_{1}\right)$. Denote by $\mathfrak{c}$ the the center of $\mathfrak{k}$. We have $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{c}=1$ and $\mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{c} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_{1}$.

Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{k}$ associated with the Cartan-Killing form. Consider the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$. Then, we can take $T \in \mathfrak{c}$ so that $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}=\mathfrak{p}_{+} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{-}$for

$$
\mathfrak{p}_{+}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \mid[T, X]=\sqrt{-1} X\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathfrak{p}_{-}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \mid[T, X]=-\sqrt{-1} X\right\} .
$$

The decomposition $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}=\mathfrak{p}_{+} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{-}$induces a $G$-invariant complex structure $J$ on $G / K$ and a $\widetilde{G}$-invariant CR structure $T^{1,0}$ on $\widetilde{G} / \widetilde{K}_{1}$ so that $T_{e \widetilde{K}}^{1,0}=\mathfrak{p}_{+}$. Consider the
$\widetilde{G}$-invariant 1-forms $\left(\left(\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{k}_{1}\right)^{*}\right)^{\mathfrak{k}_{1}}=\mathfrak{c}^{*} \subset A^{1}\left(\widetilde{G} / \widetilde{K}_{1}\right)$ and 2-forms $\left(\bigwedge^{2}\left(\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{k}_{1}\right)^{*}\right)^{\mathfrak{k}_{1}}=$ $\left(\bigwedge^{2} \mathfrak{p}^{*}\right)^{\mathfrak{k}_{1}} \subset A^{2}\left(\widetilde{G} / \widetilde{K}_{1}\right)$. Then $\left(\bigwedge^{2} \mathfrak{p}^{*}\right)^{\mathfrak{k}_{1}}$ is spanned by $G$-invariant Kähler form $\omega$ on $G / K$ and the differential $d: \mathfrak{c}^{*} \rightarrow\left(\bigwedge^{2} \mathfrak{p}^{*}\right)^{\mathfrak{k}_{1}}$ is bijective. Take $\eta \in \mathfrak{c}^{*}$ so that $d \eta=\omega$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{c}$ so that $\eta(\xi)=1$. Then we have the Sasakian manifold $\left(\widetilde{G} / \widetilde{K}_{1},\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right),(\eta, \xi)\right)$. The homogeneous space $\widetilde{G} / \widetilde{K}_{1}$ is a principal $\mathbb{R}$-bundle over $G / K$. We notice that this Sasakian manifold can be seen as the Boothby-Wang fibration of the Hermitian symmetric space $G / K$ (see $[\mathrm{BaK}]$ ).

Let $\widetilde{\Gamma} \subset \widetilde{G}$ be a cocompact discrete subgroup so that $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ acts freely on $\widetilde{G} / \widetilde{K}_{1}$. Then we have the compact Sasakian manifold $\left(\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{G} / \widetilde{K}_{1},\left(T^{1,0}, S, I\right),(\eta, \xi)\right)$. We assume that $\pi(\widetilde{\Gamma})$ is discrete in $G$. We do not assume $\pi(\widetilde{\Gamma})$ acts freely on $G / K$. Hence the quotient $\pi(\widetilde{\Gamma}) \backslash G / K$ is a Kähler orbifold.

We construct polarized complex basic variation of Hodge structure over $\left(\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{G} / \widetilde{K}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{\xi}\right)$ by the idea of Locally homogeneous variations of Hodge structure as in $[\mathrm{Zu} 2]$. Let $Z$ be the center of $K$. Then we have an isomorphism $Z \cong U(1)$. For the adjoint group $A d(G)$ of $G$ and the subgroup $Z^{A d} \subset A d(G)$ corresponding to $Z$, the homomorphism $Z \rightarrow Z^{A d}$ is a covering map. Denote by $\mu$ the degree of this covering map. Let $\rho: G \rightarrow G L(V)$ be an irreducible representation of $G$ on a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $V$. Let $V_{n}=\left\{v \in V \mid \rho(z) v=z^{n} v, z \in Z\right\}$ where we regard $Z=U(1)$. Then we have non-negative integers $m, n$ such that $m \geq \frac{n}{\mu}$ and $V=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{m} V_{n-k \mu}$. We have

$$
\rho_{*}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{+}\right) V_{n-k \mu} \subset V_{n-k \mu+\mu}, \quad \rho_{*}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{-}\right) V_{n-k \mu} \subset V_{n-k \mu-\mu}
$$

and

$$
\rho_{*}(\mathfrak{k}) V_{n-k \mu} \subset V_{n-k \mu} .
$$

Taking a compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}$, we can define a Hermitian inner product (, ) on $V$ satisfying

$$
\left(\rho_{*}(X) v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=-\left(v_{1}, \rho_{*}(X) v_{2}\right)
$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{k}$ and

$$
\left(\rho_{*}(X) v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=\left(v_{1}, \rho_{*}(X) v_{2}\right)
$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ (see [MM, Lemma 3.1]).

Let $\tilde{\rho}=\rho \circ \pi: \widetilde{G} \rightarrow G L(V)$ We consider the flat bundles

$$
E=\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash\left(\widetilde{G} / \widetilde{K}_{1} \times_{\tilde{\rho}} V\right) \quad \text { and } \quad E^{\prime}=\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash\left(\widetilde{G} \times_{\tilde{\rho}} V\right) .
$$

Corresponding $v \in V$ to the section $\tilde{\rho}(g) v$ of $E$, we have the trivialization $E^{\prime}=$ $\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{G} \times V$. We can see that for $k \in \widetilde{K}$ and $([g], v) \in \widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{G} \times V, k \cdot(\widetilde{\Gamma} g, v)=$ $\left(\widetilde{\Gamma} g k, \tilde{\rho}(k)^{-1} v\right)$. We have $E=E^{\prime} / \widetilde{K}_{1}$ and define the subbundle

$$
E^{k, n-k}=\left(\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{G} \times V_{n-k \mu}\right) / \widetilde{K}_{1} \subset E=E^{\prime} / \widetilde{K}_{1} .
$$

Then they are basic and we have $E=\bigoplus_{p+q=n} E^{p, q}$. By the relations $\rho_{*}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{+}\right) V_{n-k \mu} \subset V_{n-k \mu+\mu}$ and $\rho_{*}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{-}\right) V_{n-k \mu} \subset V_{n-k \mu-\mu}$, the basic Griffiths transversality condition holds. By the relation $\left(\rho_{*}(X) v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=-\left(v_{1}, \rho_{*}(X) v_{2}\right)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{k}$, the Hermitian inner product (,) induces Hermitian metric $H$ on $E$ and the direct sum $E=\bigoplus_{p+q=n} E^{p, q}$ is orthogonal with respect to $H$. Define the Hermitian form $h$ on $E$ so that $E=\bigoplus_{p+q=n} E^{p, q}$ is orthogonal with respect to $h$ and $h=(-1)^{p} H$ on $E^{p, q}$. Then, by the relations $\rho_{*}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{+}\right) V_{n-k \mu} \subset V_{n-k \mu+\mu}, \rho_{*}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{-}\right) V_{n-k \mu} \subset V_{n-k \mu-\mu}$ and $\left(\rho_{*}(X) v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=\left(v_{1}, \rho_{*}(X) v_{2}\right)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{p}, h$ is a parallel Hermitian form on the flat bundle $E$. Hence, we have the polarized complex basic variation of Hodge structure $\left(E=\bigoplus_{p+q=n} E^{p, q}, D, h\right)$ of weight $n$ over $\left(\widetilde{\Gamma} \backslash \widetilde{G} / \widetilde{K}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{\xi}\right)$.

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 19H01787.

## References

[Bai] L. W. Baily, On the imbedding of V-manifolds in projective space. Amer. J. Math. 79 (1957), 403-430.
[BaK] O. Baues and Y. Kamishima, Locally homogeneous aspherical Sasaki manifolds. Differential Geom. Appl. 70 (2020) 41 pp.
[BK] I. Biswas and H. Kasuya, Higgs bundles and flat connections over compact Sasakian manifolds.arXiv:1905.06178., to appear in Comm. Math. Phys.
[Bl] D. E. Blair, Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds. Progress in Mathematics, 203. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
[BG] C. P. Boyer and K. Galicki, Sasakian geometry, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008.
[Co] K. Corlette, Flat G-bundles with canonical metrics, J. Differential Geom. 28 (1988), 361-382.
[DGMS] P. Deligne, P. Griffiths, J. Morgan, and D. Sullivan, Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds. Invent. Math. 29 (1975), no. 3, 245-274.
[DT] S. Dragomir and G. Tomassini, Differential geometry and analysis on CR manifolds. Progress in Mathematics, 246. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2006.
[DK] T. Duchamp and M. Kalka, Deformation theory for holomorphic foliations. J. Differential Geometry 14 (1979), no. 3, 317-337 (1980).
[GM] W. M. Goldman and J. J. Millson, The deformation theory of representations of fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 67 (1988), 43-96.
[Gri2] P. A. Griffiths, Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds. II. Local study of the period mapping. Amer. J. Math. 90 (1968), 805-865.
[Gri3] P. A. Griffiths, Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds. III. Some global differential-geometric properties of the period mapping. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 38 (1970), 125-180.
[EKA] A. El Kacimi-Alaoui, Opérateurs transversalement elliptiques sur un feuilletage riemannien et applications. Compositio Math. 73 (1990), no. 1, 57-106.
[IP] S. Ianus and A.M. Pastore, Harmonic maps on contact metric manifolds, Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal., 2 (1995), 43-53.
[Ka] H. Kasuya, Almost-formality and deformations of representations of the fundamental groups of Sasakian manifolds. arXiv:2007.14544
[KT] F. W. Kamber and P. Tondeur, de Rham-Hodge theory for Riemannian foliations. Math. Ann. 277 (1987), no. 3, 415-431.
[Ko] S. Kobayashi, Differential geometry of complex vector bundles, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1987.
[MM] Y. Matsushima and S. Murakami, On vector bundle valued harmonic forms and automorphic forms on symmetric riemannian manifolds. Ann. of Math. (2) 78 (1963), 365-416.
[Pe] R. Petit, Harmonic maps and strictly pseudoconvex CR manifolds. Comm. Anal. Geom. 10 (2002), no. 3, 575-610.
[RV] F. Raymond, A.T. Vasquez, 3-manifolds whose universal coverings are Lie groups. Topology Appl. 12 (1981), no. 2, 161-179.
[Sa] S. Sasaki, On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure. I, Tôhoku Math. Jour. 12 (1960), 459-476.
[Sch] W. Schmid, Variation of Hodge structure: the singularities of the period mapping. Invent. Math. 22 (1973), 211-319.
[Si] C. T. Simpson, Higgs bundles and local systems. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 75 (1992), 5-95.
[Siu] Y.T. Siu, The complex analyticity of harmonic maps and the strong rigidity of compact Kähler manifolds, Ann. of Math., 112 (1980), 73-111.
[Ti] A. M. Tievsky, Analogues of Kähler geometry on Sasakian manifolds, Ph.D. Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2008. Available in http://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/45349
[Ta] N. Tanaka, A Differential Geometric Study on strongly pseudoconvex CR manifolds, Lecture Notes in Math., 9, Kyoto University, 1975.
[We] S. Webster, Pseudo-Hermitian structures on a real hypersurface, J. Differential Geom. 13 (1978), 25-41.
$[\mathrm{Zu}] \quad \mathrm{S}$. Zucker, Hodge theory with degenerating coefficients. $L^{2}$ cohomology in the Poincaré metric. Ann. of Math. (2) 109 (1979), no. 3, 415-476.
[Zu2] S. Zucker, Locally homogeneous variations of Hodge structure. Enseign. Math. (2) 27 (1981), no. 3-4, 243-276 (1982).

## Hisashi Kasuya

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science Osaka University, Osaka, Japan
E-mail: kasuya@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp


[^0]:    2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C12, 53C07, 32L05, 53C25.
    Key Words and Phrases. Variation of Hodge structures, transverse holomorphic foliation, Sasakian manifolds.

